arXiv:1010.3764v2 [math.DG] 9 Dec 2010

Möbius invariant energies and average linking with circles

Jun O'Hara and Gil Solanes

December 10, 2010

Abstract

We define and study a Möbius invariant energy associated to planar domains, as well its generalization to space curves. This generalization is a Möbius version of Banchoff-Pohl's notion of area enclosed by a space curve. A relation with Gauss-Bonnet theorems for complete surfaces in hyperbolic space is also described.

Key words and phrases. Möbius geometry, integral geometry, symplectic form, Kähler form, Grassmann manifold, flag manifold, cross ratio, renormalization, energy, knot

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53A30; Secondary 53C65.

1 Introduction

For a closed smooth curve $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, the *Möbius energy* was defined in [O1] as the integral on K of the following function called *renormalized potential*

$$V(p, K) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\int_{|q-p|>\varepsilon} \frac{dq}{|q-p|^2} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \right), \qquad p \in K. \tag{1.1}$$

The resulting functional is invariant under the action of the Möbius group as shown first in [BFHW]. A generalization to surfaces was carried out by Auckly and Sadun in [AS]. For a point p in a compact embedded surface $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ they defined a renormalized potential $V(p, \Omega)$ analogous to (1.1). When the surface Ω has empty boundary, the integral of $V(p, \Omega)$ on Ω yields a Möbius invariant energy. However, when Ω has non-empty boundary, $V(p, \Omega)$ blows up near $\partial\Omega$, causing the divergence of the integral of $V(p, \Omega)$. In the present paper we consider a renormalization of this integral in case Ω is a planar domain with non-empty smooth boundary. This defines a Möbius invariant energy for planar domains. This energy turns out to be related to a recent Gauss-Bonnet formula for complete surfaces in hyperbolic space (cf. [So]).

When the energy of a planar domain is expressed by the contour integral on its boundary, a generalization to space curves appears naturally. This is a renormalization of the Möbius invariant measure of the set of circles linked with the curve. Again, this functional appears in a Gauss-Bonnet formula for surfaces in hyperbolic space. Besides connections to knot energies, and hyperbolic geometry, our results may be interesting from the viewpoint of integral geometry. Indeed, due to divergence problems, almost nothing is known about integral geometry under the Möbius group (an exception is [LO]). Here, the use of renormalization allows us to extend some results of euclidean integral geometry to Möbius geometry. In fact, our functional for space curves can be seen as a Möbius invariant version of Banchoff-Pohl's notion of the area enclosed by a space curve (cf. [BP]).

Next we sketch our results briefly. For a planar region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ Auckly-Sadun's renormalized potential is given by (cf. Definition 4.1)

$$V(w,\Omega) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4} - \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2} \right),$$

where d^2z is the area element of $\mathbb{R}^2$. The counterterm $\frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2}$ is chosen in order to cancel the blow-up of the integral as ε goes down to 0. After studying the blow-up of $V(\cdot,\Omega)$ itself near $\partial\Omega$ (cf. Proposition 4.4) we define the energy $E(\Omega)$ of a region Ω bounded by a smooth curve K of length $L(K)$ as

$$E(\Omega) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left(\int_{\Omega_\delta} V(w,\Omega) d^2w + \frac{\pi}{4\delta} L(K) \right), \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Omega_\delta \subset \Omega$ is the set of points at distance bigger than δ from $\Omega^c = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega$. This is a renormalization of the integral of $V(\cdot,\Omega)$. Alternatively, given a smoothly embedded curve $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we define (cf. Definition 4.11)

$$E(K) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\frac{2L(K)}{\varepsilon} - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega^c \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4} \right), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\Delta_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ consists of pairs (w,z) with $|z-w| < \varepsilon$. For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ compact and $K = \partial\Omega$ both energies are related by $E(\Omega) = E(K) + \pi^2\chi(\Omega)/4$ (cf. Proposition 4.13). Among several expressions for these energies we point out the following one which involves no limit:

$$E(K) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{K \times K} \sin\theta_p \sin\theta_q \frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2},$$

where dp, dq denote the arc-length elements, and θ_p (resp. θ_q) is the angle between $q-p$ and K at p (resp. at q).

Considering $\mathbb{R}^2$ as the ideal boundary of Poincaré half-space model of hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^3$, we can assume K to be the ideal boundary of a smooth surface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ meeting $\mathbb{R}^2$ orthogonally. Then we have the following Gauss-Bonnet formula (cf. Proposition 4.17)

$$\int_S \kappa \, dS = 2\pi\chi(S) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} (\#(\ell_{wz} \cap S) - \lambda^2(w,z;K)) \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4} - \frac{4}{\pi} E(K),$$

where κ denotes the extrinsic curvature of S, and ℓ_{wz} denotes the geodesic with ideal endpoints w, z, while $\lambda(w,z;K)$ is the algebraic intersection number of K with the segment $[zw] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. As a consequence, we get the Möbius invariance of $E(K)$ and $E(\Omega)$ (cf. Corollary 4.18), as well as some lower bounds (cf. Corollary 4.20).

For a closed curve $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ we define $E(K)$ as the renormalized measure of the set of circles linked with K. Indeed, there is a natrual (Möbius invariant) measure $d\gamma$ on $\mathcal{S}(1,3)$, the space of oriented circles $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. To be precise we define

$$E(K) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\frac{3\pi L(K)}{8\varepsilon} - \frac{3}{16\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon(1,3)} \lambda^2(\gamma, K) d\gamma \right)$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon(1,3)$ is the set of oriented circles with radii bigger than ε, and $\lambda(\gamma,K)$ denotes the linking number between γ and K. This is motivated by (1.3), and indeed both defnitions coincide when K is planar. Again, we find an expression of $E(K)$ that involves no renormalization:

$$E(K) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{K \times K} \cos\tau \sin\theta_p \sin\theta_q \frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2},$$

where τ is the angle between the two oriented planes through p, q tangent to K at p and q respectively. It is interesting to remark that replacing $\cos\tau$ by $\sin\tau$ gives the so-called *writhe* of K, another Möbius invariant functional for space curves. Besides, if the power in the denominator is replaced by 1 or 0, one gets respectively the length of K and Banchoff-Pohl's area enclosed by K.

Again, $E(K)$ appears in a Gauss-Bonnet formula: if a surface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^4$ in Poincaré half-space model of 4-dimensional hyperbolic space meets the ideal boundary orthogonally along a closed curve K, then (cf. Corollary 5.15)

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{N^1S} \kappa \, de \, dS = 2\pi\chi(S) + \frac{3}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathcal{L}_2^+} (\#(\ell \cap S) - \lambda^2(\ell, K))d\ell - \frac{2}{\pi}E(K),$$

where κ denotes the Lipschitz-Killing curvature defined on the unit normal bundle N^1S, and de denotes the volume element of N^1_xS. The second integral takes place on the space $\mathcal{L}_2^+$ of oriented totally geodesic planes $\ell \subset \mathbb{H}^4$, which is naturally identified to $\mathcal{S}(1,3)$, and the measure $d\ell$ corresponds to $d\gamma$. By construction, these two integrals are invariant under isometries of $\mathbb{H}^4$. This shows the Möbius invariance of $E(K)$.

A direct proof of this invariance, without use of hyperbolic space, is given at the end of the paper. In Proposition 5.16 we provide an alternative construction of $E(K)$ inspired by (1.2). There, we approach K by a parallel curve K_δ, and we integrate the product of linking numbers $\lambda(\gamma, K)\lambda(\gamma, K_\delta)$ over all circles $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}(1,3)$. In section 6 we go back to the planar case and give some Möbius invariant expressions of the energy of a domain.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Professor M. Kanai for helpful suggestions.

2 Infinitesimal cross ratio

We start fixing some notations, and presenting some tools that will be used along the paper. We shall be considering pairs of complex numbers $w = u + iv, z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$. We denote the diagonal in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ by $\Delta = \{(w, w)\}$. The *infinitesimal cross ratio* ([LO]) is a complex valued 2-form on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta$ given by

$$\omega_{cr} = \frac{dw \wedge dz}{(w-z)^2} = \frac{(du + idv) \wedge (dx + idy)}{(w-z)^2}\,.$$

It is invariant under diagonal action of (orientation preserving) Möbius transformations: $h(z) = (az+b)/(cz+d)$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ and $ad-bc \neq 0$. Recall that such an h defines a transformation $h : \mathbb{CP}^1 \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ of the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. For simplicity we will work with $\mathbb{C}$ instead of $\mathbb{CP}^1$. This causes no trouble, except that h is not defined in one point.

Both the real part and the imaginary part of the infinitesimal cross ratio are exact forms;

$$d\left(\mathfrak{Re}\,\frac{dw}{w-z}\right) = d\left(\mathfrak{Re}\,\frac{dz}{z-w}\right) = -\mathfrak{Re}\,\omega_{cr}, \quad d\left(\mathfrak{Im}\,\frac{dw}{w-z}\right) = d\left(\mathfrak{Im}\,\frac{dz}{z-w}\right) = -\mathfrak{Im}\,\omega_{cr}\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Direct computation shows

$$\mathfrak{Re}\,\omega_{cr} \wedge \mathfrak{Re}\,\omega_{cr} = \mathfrak{Im}\,\omega_{cr} \wedge \mathfrak{Im}\,\omega_{cr} = 2\frac{d^2w \wedge d^2z}{|z-w|^4}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $d^2w = du \wedge dv, d^2z = dx \wedge dy$ are the area elements in $\mathbb{C}$. At some places we will omit the wedges in the exterior product of forms when these are understood as measures. Note that the forms in (2.2) are invariant under all Möbius transformations, preserving orientation or not.

2.1 Interpretation via hyperbolic space

It will be sometimes useful to consider $\mathbb{C}$ as the ideal boundary of Poincaré half-space model of hyperbolic space. The reason behind is that hyperbolic motions induce Möbius transformations on the boundary. Given $(w, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta$ we can consider the oriented geodesic ℓ_{wz} with ideal endpoints w, z at $-\infty, +\infty$ respectively. Let us choose (locally) for each pair (z, w) a point $o \in \ell_{wz} \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ and an oriented orthonormal frame $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in T_o\mathbb{H}^3$ with respect to the hyperbolic metric $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. Then the differential 1-forms $\omega_i = \langle do, e_i\rangle$ are (locally) defined in $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}\setminus\Delta$. Similarly, we have the connection forms $\omega_{ij} = \langle \nabla e_i, e_j\rangle$ where ∇ denotes the riemannian connection of $\mathbb{H}^3$. It turns out that $d\omega_1$ is independent of the choice of the point o and hence of the frame. Indeed, if $o' = \exp_o(f \cdot e_1)$ is a second choice, one gets $\omega_1' = \omega_1 + df$. Similarly, $d\omega_{23}$ is independent of the choice of the frame (cf. [So, Prop.5]). Hence, $d\omega_1$, $d\omega_{23}$ are well-defined global forms on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta$, invariant under orientation preserving Möbius transformations. The structure equations of hyperbolic space yield

$$d\omega_1 = \omega_{12} \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_{13} \wedge \omega_3, \qquad d\omega_{23} = \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 - \omega_{12} \wedge \omega_{13}.$$

Let us take $o = (\frac{u+x}{2}, \frac{v+y}{2}, r) \in \ell_{wz}$, where $r = |z-w|/2$ and $w = u+iv, z = x+iy$ as before; i.e. o maximizes the third coordinate in ℓ_{wz}. After a horizontal dispalcement we can assume $v = y = 0$ and $-u = x = r$. Then we can choose the frame $e_1 = (r, 0, 0), e_2 = (0, r, 0), e_3 = (0, 0, r) \in T_o\mathbb{H}^3$. Then,

$$\omega_2 = \frac{1}{2r}(dy + dv), \qquad \omega_3 = \frac{1}{2r}(dx - du), \qquad \omega_{12} = \frac{1}{2r}(dy - dv), \qquad \omega_{13} = \frac{1}{2r}(dx + du).$$

Therefore,

$$d\omega_1 = 2\mathfrak{Re}\,\omega_{cr}, \qquad d\omega_{23} = 2\mathfrak{Im}\,\omega_{cr}.$$

2.2 Symplectic forms on Grassmannians

By taking the hyperboloid model of $\mathbb{H}^3$, the ideal boundary is identified to the set of lines in the light cone of the Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^4_1$. Thus the space $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta$ can be identified with a dense open set of a Grassmannian manifold $SO(3,1)/SO(2) \times SO(1,1)$ which is the space of the oriented timelike 2-planes in $\mathbb{R}^4_1$. Two kinds of interpretation of the space, one as the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ and the other as a Kähler manifold reveal the meanings of the real and the imaginary parts of the infinitesimal cross ratio.

2.2.1 The real part of ω_{cr} as a canonical symplectic form of $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$

In this subsubsection we introduce some result from [LO].

Let $\Psi : \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \Delta \to T^*\mathbb{S}^n$ be the bijection given by $\Psi(x, y) = (x, \Psi_x(y))$, where $\Psi_x : \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \{x\} \to (x)^\perp \equiv T^*_x\mathbb{S}^n$ is the stereographic projection. If $P : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{S}^n$ is the inverse of the stereographic projection, then $\psi = P^* \circ \Psi \circ (P \times P)$ is a bijection between $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Delta$ and $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$.

The pull-back of the canonical symplectic form $\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}$ of the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ by ψ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^*\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n} &= -2d\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - w_i)dw_i}{|z-w|^2}\right) = -2d\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - w_i)dz_i}{|z-w|^2}\right) \\ &= 2\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n dw_i \wedge dz_i}{|z-w|^2} - 2\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - w_i)dw_i) \wedge (\sum_{j=1}^n (z_j - w_j)dz_j)}{|z-w|^4}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

We will hereafter identify $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Delta$ with $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ through ψ and denote $\psi^*\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}$ simply by $\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}$.

Especially, when $n = 2$ then we have (by folklore)

$$\mathfrak{Re}\,\omega_{cr} = -\frac{1}{2}\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^2}. \tag{2.4}$$

This 2-form $\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}$ is invariant under the diagonal action of a Möbius transformation f, i.e. $(f \times f)^*\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n} = \omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}$, although the 1-forms $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i-w_i)dw_i}{|z-w|^2}$ and $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i-w_i)dz_i}{|z-w|^2}$ are not. We remark that our bijection ψ is not compatible with the diagonal action of a Möbius transformation on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Delta$, i.e. $\psi \circ (f \times f) \neq (f^*)^{-1} \circ \psi$.

As before, we can consider $\mathbb{R}^n$ as the boundary of half-space model $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. Then $(w, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Delta$ are the ideal endpoints of a geodesic ℓ. Again, given $o \in \ell$ and a unit vector $e_1 \in T_o\ell$, we get a 1-form $\omega_1 = \langle do, e_1\rangle$, and $d\omega_1$ is independent of the chosen o, e_1. By taking $o = \frac{1}{2}(z + w, |z - w|) \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ and $e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(z - w, 0) \in T_o\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, it is easy to check from (2.3) that $\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n} = -d\omega_1$.

2.2.2 The imaginary part of ω_{cr} as a Kähler form

Let $\mathcal{S}(n-2, n)$ be the set of oriented codimension 2 subspheres in $\mathbb{S}^n$. We can realize $\mathbb{S}^n$ in the Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1$ as the intersection of the light cone and a spacelike affine hyperplane. Therefore $\mathcal{S}(n-2, n)$ can be identified with the set of oriented timelike codimension 2 subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1$. Let us denote it by G. It is a non-compact Grassmannian manifold $SO(n+1, 1)/SO(2) \times SO(n-1, 1)$ with an indefinite pseudo inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. Just like in compact case, G has a Kähler form ω_K defined by $\omega_K(u, v) = \langle Ju, v\rangle$ $(u, v \in T_\Pi G, \Pi \in G)$, where J is the complex structure given by a 90° degrees rotation which can be considered as an element of $SO(2)$. This Kähler form ω_K is invariant under orientation preserving Möbius transformations on $\mathbb{S}^n$, which are given by the action by elements of $SO(n + 1, 1)$ on the light cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1$.

Proposition 2.1 *When $n = 2$,*

$$\mathfrak{Im}\,\omega_{cr} = -\frac{1}{2}\omega_K.$$

To be precise, the right hand side should be understood to be $-\frac{1}{2}(f \times f)^*\omega_K$, where $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{\text{pt.}\}$ is the inverse of an orientation preserving stereographic projection.

Proof. As both ω_{cr} and ω_K are invariant under Möbius transformations, we may fix a point Π in G. Suppose e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3 form a pseudo-orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^4_1$ with $e_0 \cdot e_0 = -1$ and $e_i \cdot e_j = \delta_{ij}$ $((i, j) \neq (0, 0))$. Assume $\Pi = \mathrm{Span}\langle e_0, e_1\rangle$. Then $T_\Pi G \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Pi, \Pi^\perp)$ is spanned by v_{ij} $(i = 0, 1,\ j = 2, 3)$, where $v_{ij} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Pi, \Pi^\perp)$ is given by $v_{ij}(e_i) = e_j$ and $v_{ij}(e_{1-i}) = 0$. They form a pseudo-orthonormal basis of $T_\Pi G$ with $\langle v_{0j}, v_{0j}\rangle = -1$ and $\langle v_{1j}, v_{1j}\rangle = 1$ $(j = 2, 3)$.

Since the complex structure J is obtained by 90° degrees rotation in the e_2e_3-plane, namely, $J(v_{i2}) = v_{i3}$ $(i = 0, 1)$, we have $\omega_K(v_{02}, v_{03}) = -1$, $\omega_K(v_{12}, v_{13}) = 1$, and $\omega_K(v_{ij}, v_{kl}) = 0$ if $\{v_{ij}, v_{kl}\}$ is not equal to $\{v_{02}, v_{03}\}$ or $\{v_{12}, v_{13}\}$.

On the other hand, by a suitable identification, Π correspnds to $((u, v), (x, y)) = ((1, 0), (-1, 0))$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Delta$ and v_{ij} correspond to

$$v_{02} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y},\ v_{03} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x},\ v_{12} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y},\ v_{13} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

Take care not to use a stereographic projection form the north pole here as it is orientation reversing. Now the direct computation shows that $\omega_K = -2\mathfrak{Im}\,\omega_{cr}$. □

3 The Möbius energy of pairs of disjoint planar domains

Let Ω_1, Ω_2 be a pair of disjoint domains in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with smooth regular boundaries. Suppose each pair of particles in Ω_1 and Ω_2 has a mutual repelling force between them. Assume this force has magnitude r^{-5} where r denotes the distance between the particles. The reason for this exponent will be clear below. Under these assumptions the corresponding energy for the interaction of Ω_1 and Ω_2 would be the following.

Definition 3.1 The Möbius mutual energy between Ω_1 and Ω_2 is defined as

$$E(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4},$$

where d^2w (resp d^2z) denotes the area element in $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (resp. $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$).

This energy is invariant under Möbius transformations. Indeed, (2.2) implies

$$E(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \mathfrak{Re}\, \omega_{cr} \wedge \mathfrak{Re}\, \omega_{cr} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \mathfrak{Im}\, \omega_{cr} \wedge \mathfrak{Im}\, \omega_{cr}.$$

Proposition 3.2 *Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a pair of disjoint planar domains with smooth regular boundaries $K_1 = \partial\Omega_1$, $K_2 = \partial\Omega_2$. Then $E(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ can be expressed by double contour integral:*

$$E(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{K_1 \times K_2} \cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2 \frac{dp_1 dp_2}{|p_2 - p_1|^2}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$E(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{K_1 \times K_2} \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \frac{dp_1 dp_2}{|p_2 - p_1|^2}, \tag{3.2}$$

where dp_i is the length element on K_i, and θ_i is the oriented angle from the positive tangent of K_i at p_i to the vector $p_2 - p_1$.

Proof. Put

$$\lambda = -\mathfrak{Re}\, \frac{dw}{w-z}, \qquad \rho = -\mathfrak{Re}\, \frac{dz}{z-w}, \qquad \omega = \mathfrak{Re}\, \omega_{cr}, \tag{3.3}$$

so that $d\lambda = d\rho = \omega$. By Stokes' theorem

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \omega \wedge \omega = \int_{(K_1 \times \Omega_2) \cup (\Omega_1 \times K_2)} \lambda \wedge \omega = \int_{K_1 \times \Omega_2} \lambda \wedge \omega.$$

Since $\lambda \wedge \omega = \omega \wedge \rho - d(\lambda \wedge \rho)$,

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \omega \wedge \omega = \int_{K_1 \times \Omega_2} \omega \wedge \rho - \int_{K_1 \times K_2} \lambda \wedge \rho = -\int_{K_1 \times K_2} \lambda \wedge \rho.$$

Then (3.1) follows from elementary computations. The same arguments with $\mathfrak{Re}$ replaced by $\mathfrak{Im}$ in (3.3) proves (3.2). □

Corollary 3.3 *Under the above hypothesis*

$$E(\Omega_1,\Omega_2) = -\frac{1}{4}\int_{K_1\times K_2}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp_1}\cdot\overrightarrow{dp_2}}{|p_2-p_1|^2}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\overrightarrow{dp_1}\cdot\overrightarrow{dp_2} = du_1\wedge du_2 + dv_1\wedge dv_2$ *is a 2-form on* $K_1\times K_2$ *where* $p_i=(u_i,v_i)\in K_i$.

Proof. Let ϕ_i $(i=1,2)$ be the angle of a tangent vector to Ω_i from the x-axis. Then

$$\cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2+\sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2 = \cos(\theta_1-\theta_2) = \cos(\phi_1-\phi_2) = \cos\phi_1\cos\phi_2+\sin\phi_1\sin\phi_2.$$

Let dp_i denote the length element of K_i. As $du_i=\cos\phi_i dp_i, dv_i=\sin\phi_i dp_i$ the above equation implies

$$(\cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2+\sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2)dp_1dp_2 = \overrightarrow{dp_1}\cdot\overrightarrow{dp_2}\,. \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, by averaging (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$E(\Omega_1,\Omega_2) = -\frac{1}{4}\int_{K_1\times K_2}\frac{\cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2+\sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2}{|p_2-p_1|^2}\,dp_1dp_2 = -\frac{1}{4}\int_{K_1\times K_2}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp_1}\cdot\overrightarrow{dp_2}}{|p_2-p_1|^2}.$$

□

4 Renormalized Möbius energy of planar domains

Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ be a planar domain with smooth boundary $K=\partial\Omega$. We will define a Möbius invariant energy associated to Ω. One cannot take $E(\Omega,\Omega)$ because of the blow up of ω_{cr} near the diagonal $\Delta\subset\Omega\times\Omega$. This kind of difficulty is usually avoided by means of the so-called renormalization (also called regularization) procedures. We introduce two kinds of renormalizations and show that they produce essentially the same energy. The second renormalization will appear later in subsection 4.3.

The first renormalization consists of two steps. First we define a renormalized potential at every point of the domain. This is a particular case of the potential considered in [AS] for general surfaces. The integral of this potential is divergent when the boundary is not empty. Hence we need a second step where this integral is renormalized.

4.1 Renormalized potential

Definition 4.1 ([AS, O3]) Let w be a point in $\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega$. We define the renormalized r^{-4}-potential of Ω at w by

$$V(w,\Omega) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\int_{\Omega\setminus B_\varepsilon(w)}\frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4} - \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \tag{4.1}$$

Proposition 4.2 ([O3]) *The renormalized potential of* Ω *at an interior point* w *is given by*

$$V(w,\Omega) = -\int_{\Omega^c}\frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\Omega^c=\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega$ *denotes the complement of* Ω. *Hence* $-\infty<V(w,\Omega)<0$.

Proof. Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4} = \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2}\,,$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$ is such that $B_\varepsilon(w) \subset \Omega$ then

$$\int_{\Omega\setminus B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4} - \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2} = \int_{\Omega\setminus B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4}\,.$$

□

In view of (4.2) one can interpret $V(w,\Omega)$ as the area of the image of Ω^c after an inversion with respect to a circle of center w and radius 1. Indeed, the Jacobian of such an inversion is precisely $-|w-z|^{-4}$.

Proposition 4.3 ([O3]) *The renormalized potential $V(w,\Omega)$ can be expressed by a contour integration as*

$$\begin{aligned} V(w,\Omega) &= \frac{1}{4}\int_K \nabla\left(\frac{1}{r(p)^2}\right)\cdot \boldsymbol{n}(p)\,dp \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_K \frac{(x-u)dy-(y-v)dx}{|p-w|^4} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_K \frac{\det\big(p-w,\overrightarrow{dp}\big)}{|p-w|^4}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $r(p) = |p-w|$, $\boldsymbol{n}$ is the outer unit normal vector to K and $w=(u,v)\in\Omega$, $p=(x,y)\in K$, and $\overrightarrow{dp} = (dx,dy)$.

Proof. Take a small positive number ε so that $B_\varepsilon(w)\subset\Omega$. Since

$$\Delta r^{-2} = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right)\{(x-u)^2+(y-v)^2\}^{-1} = 4r^{-4}\,,$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{4}\int_{\partial(\Omega\setminus B_\varepsilon(w))} \nabla r^{-2}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}\,ds = \frac{1}{4}\int_{\Omega\setminus B_\varepsilon(w)} \Delta r^{-2}dxdy = \int_{\Omega\setminus B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4}\,. \tag{4.4}$$

As the left hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4}\int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla r^{-2}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}\,dp - \frac{1}{4}\int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(w)} \nabla r^{-2}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}\,ds \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\int_K \nabla r^{-2}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}\,dp + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{(x-u)dy-(y-v)dx}{|p-w|^4} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\int_K \nabla r^{-2}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}\,dp + \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2}\,, \end{aligned}$$

formula (4.4) implies that

$$V(w,\Omega) = \int_{\Omega\setminus B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4} - \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{1}{4}\int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla r^{-2}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}\,dp.$$

□

Proposition 4.4 *The behavior of* $V(w,\Omega)$ *as* $w \in \overset{\circ}{\Omega}$ *approaches the boundary from inside can be expressed in terms of* $\delta = d(w,K)$ *by*

$$V(w,\Omega) = -\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta^2} + \frac{\kappa\pi}{4\delta}\right) + O(1), \tag{4.5}$$

where κ *is the curvature of* K *a the closest point in* K *to* w*.*

Proof. Fix a positive constant ε which is smaller than the minimum radius of curvature of K. Suppose $\delta = d(w,K)$ satisfies $\delta < \varepsilon$. First note that

$$V(w,\Omega) = -\int_{\Omega^c} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4} = -\int_{\Omega^c \cap B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4} - \int_{\Omega^c \setminus (\Omega^c \cap B_\varepsilon(w))} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4}\,.$$

The second term of the right hand side can be estimated as $O(1)$ since

$$\int_{\Omega^c \setminus (\Omega^c \cap B_\varepsilon(w))} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4} < \int_{(B_\varepsilon(w))^c} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4} = \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2}\,.$$

It remains to estimate

$$\int_{\Omega^c \cap B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{d^2z}{|z-w|^4} = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial(\Omega^c \cap B_\varepsilon(w))} \frac{\det\left(p-w, \overrightarrow{dp}\right)}{|p-w|^4} \tag{4.6}$$

by a series in $\frac{1}{\delta}$, where we have applied the contour integral expression (4.3). First note that the boundary of $\Omega^c \cap B_\varepsilon(w)$ can be divided into two parts, $\partial\Omega \cap B_\varepsilon(w)$ and $\partial B_\varepsilon(w) \cap \Omega^c$. We may assume, after a motion of $\mathbb{R}^2$, that the point w is given by $w = (0,\delta)$ and $\partial\Omega \cap B_\varepsilon(w)$ is expressed as

$$p(s) = \left(s - \frac{\kappa^2}{6}s^3 - \frac{\kappa\kappa'}{8}s^4 + \cdots\,,\ \frac{\kappa}{2}s^2 + \frac{\kappa'}{6}s^3 + \cdots\right)$$

by Bouquet's formula, where κ and κ' mean $\kappa(0)$ and $\kappa'(0)$ respectively.

Let us first estimate the contribution of $\partial\Omega \cap B_\varepsilon(w)$ to the contour integral (4.6). As

$$p'(s) = \left(1 - \frac{\kappa^2}{2}s^2 - \frac{\kappa\kappa'}{2}s^3 + \cdots\,,\ \kappa s + \frac{\kappa'}{2}s^2 + \cdots\right)$$

the numerator of (4.6) can be expanded in s as

$$\det\left(p-w, \overrightarrow{dp}\right) = -\left(\delta + \frac{\kappa}{2}(1-\kappa\delta)s^2 + \left(\frac{\kappa'}{3} - \frac{\kappa\kappa'}{2}\delta\right)s^3 + O(1)s^4\right)ds.$$

By computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p-w|^2 &= \delta^2 + (1-\kappa\delta)s^2 - \frac{\kappa'}{3}\delta s^3 + O(1)s^4 && (4.7)\\ &= \left(\delta^2 + (1-\kappa\delta)s^2\right)\left(1 + \frac{O(1)\delta s + O(1)s^2}{\delta^2 + (1-\kappa\delta)s^2}s^2\right) \\ &= \left(\delta^2 + (1-\kappa\delta)s^2\right)\left(1 + O(1)s^2\right), && (4.8) \end{aligned}$$

which gives an estimate for the denominator of (4.6).

The range of integration can be estimated as follows. Let $s_- < 0$ and $s_+ > 0$ be parameters when $p(s)$ passes through $\partial B_\varepsilon(w)$. As $|s_\pm| \sim \varepsilon$ and $|\delta| \ll \varepsilon$, (4.8) implies that

$$s_\pm = \pm\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon^2-\delta^2}{1-\kappa\delta}} + O(\varepsilon^3). \tag{4.9}$$

Therefore, the contribution of $\partial\Omega \cap B_\varepsilon(w)$ to the contour intgeral (4.6) can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\Omega\cap B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{\det\big(p-w,\overrightarrow{dp}\big)}{|p-w|^4} &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{s_-}^{s_+} \frac{\delta + \frac{\kappa}{2}(1-\kappa\delta)s^2 + O(1)s^3 + O(1)s^4}{\left((\delta^2+(1-\kappa\delta)s^2)\,(1+O(1)s^2)\right)^2}\,ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2\delta^2\sqrt{1-\kappa\delta}}\int_{t_-}^{t_+} \frac{1+\frac{\kappa\delta}{2}t^2 + O(\delta^2)t^3 + O(\delta^3)t^4}{(t^2+1)^2}\,dt \qquad \left(t = \frac{\sqrt{1-\kappa\delta}}{\delta}s\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where $t_\pm$ are given by

$$t_\pm = \pm\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2-\delta^2}}{\delta} + \frac{O(\varepsilon^3)}{\delta}.$$

Recall

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \frac{1}{(t^2+1)^2}\,dt = \frac{1}{2}\left(\arctan t + \frac{t}{t^2+1}\right), \qquad \int \frac{t^2}{(t^2+1)^2}\,dt = \frac{1}{2}\left(\arctan t - \frac{t}{t^2+1}\right), \\
&\int \frac{t^3}{(t^2+1)^2}\,dt = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{t^2+1} + \log(t^2+1)\right), \quad \int \frac{t^4}{(t^2+1)^2}\,dt = t + \frac{t}{2(t^2+1)} - \frac{3}{2}\arctan t.
\end{aligned}$$

Direct computations imply that the contribution of the t^3 and t^4 terms in the numerator of (4.10) are at most $O(\varepsilon)$. As $\frac{1}{t_\pm} = O(\delta)$ the rest can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\delta^2\sqrt{1-\kappa\delta}}\int_{t_-}^{t_+} \frac{1+\frac{\kappa\delta}{2}t^2}{(t^2+1)^2}\,dt \\
&= \frac{1}{4\delta^2\sqrt{1-\kappa\delta}}\left[\left(1+\frac{\kappa\delta}{2}\right)\arctan t + \left(1-\frac{\kappa\delta}{2}\right)\frac{t}{t^2+1}\right]_{t_-}^{t_+} \\
&= \frac{1+\frac{\kappa\delta}{2}}{4\delta^2}\left\{\left(1+\frac{\kappa\delta}{2}\right)\left\{\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{1}{t_+}\right) - \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{1}{t_-}\right)\right\} + \left(1-\frac{\kappa\delta}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{t_+}-\frac{1}{t_-}\right)\right\} + O(\delta) \\
&= \frac{1+\kappa\delta}{4\delta^2}\pi + O(\delta).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

On the other hand, the contribution of $\partial B_\varepsilon(w) \cap \Omega^c$ can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(w)\cap\Omega^c} \frac{\det\big(p-w,\overrightarrow{dp}\big)}{|p-w|^4} &= -\frac{L(\partial B_\varepsilon(w)\cap\Omega^c)}{2\varepsilon^3} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}\left(2\arccos\left(\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\right) + \kappa\sqrt{\varepsilon^2-\delta^2}\right) + O(\varepsilon) \\
&= O(1)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

as we have fixed ε. This completes the proof. □

4.2 Renormalized energy of planar domains

The renormalized potential $V(w,\Omega)$ is not integrable over Ω. Hence we define the following renormalization.

Definition 4.5 We define the *renormalized Möbius energy* of the domain Ω by

$$E(\Omega)=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\left(\int_{\Omega_\delta} V(w,\Omega)d^2w+\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(K)\right), \tag{4.13}$$

where $\Omega_\delta=\{w\in\Omega \,|\, d(w,K)\geq\delta\}$, and $L(K)$ denotes the length of the boundary.

In some sense, $E(\Omega)$ is also a renormalization of $E(\Omega,\Omega^c)$. Indeed, (4.2) shows

$$E(\Omega)=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(K)-\int_{\Omega_\delta\times\Omega^c}\frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4}\right), \tag{4.14}$$

where $\Omega_\delta=\{w\in\Omega \,|\, d(w,K)\geq\delta\}$.

Altough $d^2wd^2z/|z-w|^4$ is invariant under Möbius transformations, it is not clear at this moment that $E(\Omega)$ is Möbius invariant. This will be shown later. First we must prove the convergence of (4.13).

Proposition 4.6 *Given $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ with compact smooth boundary, the limit in* (4.13) *exists and is finite.*

Proof. Let R_0 be the minimum of the radius of the curvature of K. Fix a positive number ε so that $\varepsilon\ll R_0$. If $0<\delta<\varepsilon$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega_\delta}V(w,\Omega)d^2w=\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}V(w,\Omega)d^2w+\int_{N_\varepsilon(K)\setminus N_\delta(K)}V(w,\Omega)d^2w,$$

where $N_\delta(K)=\{w\in\Omega \,|\, d(w,K)\leq\delta\}$. If we express the arc-length parameter of K by s, Proposition 4.4 implies

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{N_\varepsilon(K)\setminus N_\delta(K)}V(w,\Omega)d^2w&=-\frac{\pi}{4}\int_0^{L(K)}\int_\delta^\varepsilon(1-\kappa(s)t)\left(\frac{1}{t^2}+\frac{\kappa(s)}{t}\right)dtds+O(\varepsilon)\\&=-\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\delta}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)L(K)-\frac{\pi}{4}(\varepsilon-\delta)\int_0^{L(K)}\kappa^2(s)ds+O(\varepsilon),\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Corollary 4.7 *If $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ is a planar domain with smooth regular boundary K of length $L(K)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}E(\Omega)&=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(K)-E(\Omega_\delta,\Omega^c)\right)\\&=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(K)-\frac{1}{2}\int_{K_\delta\times K}\cos\theta_p\cos\theta_q\frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2}\right)\\&=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(K)-\frac{1}{2}\int_{K_\delta\times K}\sin\theta_p\sin\theta_q\frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2}\right)\\&=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(K)-\frac{1}{4}\int_{K_\delta\times K}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $K_\delta = \partial\Omega_\delta$, and θ_p (resp.θ_q) denotes the angle between the positive tangent vector of K (resp. K_δ) at p (resp. at q) and $q-p$.

Proof. The first equality is immediate from (4.14). The rest follows respectively from (3.1),(3.2) and (3.4). Remark that the orientations of K as $K = \partial\Omega$ and $K = \partial\Omega^c$ are opposite. The signs in the last three lines follow from this fact. □

Theorem 4.8 *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a planar domain with smooth regular boundary K of length $L(K)$, then*

$$E(\Omega) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{L(K)}{2\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{4}\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}\right) + \frac{\pi}{8}\int_K \kappa(s)ds, \tag{4.15}$$

where κ is the curvature of K, with the orientation induced by Ω.

We postpone the proof to Proposition 5.19 where the latter equality is generalized to space curves.

Proposition 4.9 *We have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{L(K)}{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2}\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon}\cos\theta_p\cos\theta_q\frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{K\times K}\sin\theta_p\sin\theta_q\frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2}. \tag{4.16}$$

Again, the proof is postponeed to next section (cf. Propositions 5.7 and 5.10).

Finally we arrive at an expression of the energy that involves no renormalization.

Theorem 4.10 *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a planar domain with smooth regular boundary K of length $L(K)$, then*

$$E(\Omega) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{L(K)}{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2}\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon}\cos\theta_p\cos\theta_q\frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2}\right) + \frac{\pi}{8}\int_K \kappa(s)ds \tag{4.17}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{K\times K}\sin\theta_p\sin\theta_q\frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2} + \frac{\pi}{8}\int_K \kappa(s)ds, \tag{4.18}$$

where κ is the curvature of K, with the orientation induced by Ω.

Note the absence of limit in (4.18). We remark that the first term in (4.18) is equal (up to a factor) to the symmetric energy of [BS] when K is a single convex curve. In case Ω is compact, the last term in (4.17) and (4.18) is $\pi^2\chi(\Omega)/4$.

Proof. As both $\sin\theta_p$ and $\sin\theta_q$ are $O(|q-p|)$ as we will see in (5.7),

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon}\sin\theta_p\sin\theta_q\frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2} = \int_{K\times K}\sin\theta_p\sin\theta_q\frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2}.$$

By applying (3.5), (4.16), and the above equation to (4.15), we obtain the conclusion. □

4.3 Renormalized energy of plane curves

Let us introduce an alternative renormalization of $E(\Omega, \Omega^c)$ (cf. (4.14)).

Definition 4.11 Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a smooth compact curve bounding a region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. We define the *renormalized energy* of the curve K as

$$E(K) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\frac{2L(K)}{\varepsilon} - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega^c \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4} \right),$$

where $\Delta_\varepsilon = \{(w,z) | \, |z-w| \le \varepsilon\}$, a neighborhood of the diagonal in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

It must be noticed that this energy $E(K)$ does not coincide with the classical Möbius energy of curves introduced in [O1].

In the following, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ will always denote the *compact* domain bounded by K. The previous energy can be also considered as a renormalization of $E(\Omega, \Omega)$. Indeed, recalling that

$$\int_{\Omega \times \Omega^c \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4} + \int_{\Omega \times \Omega \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4} = \frac{\pi A(\Omega)}{\varepsilon^2},$$

where $A(\Omega)$ denotes the area of the domain, we get

$$E(K) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\int_{\Omega \times \Omega \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4} - \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2} A(\Omega) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} L(K) \right).$$

The following notation will be convenient. Let Ω induce an orientation on $K = \partial\Omega$. Given $w, z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ let us consider the linking number

$$\lambda(w,z,K) = \sum_{x \in [wz] \cap K} \epsilon(x)$$

where $[wz]$ denotes the (oriented) line segment from z to w, and ϵ is the sign of the intersection. Of course, $\lambda(w,z,K) = 0$ if w, z are both in Ω or both in Ω^c. Otherwise $\lambda(w,z,K) = \pm 1$.

Proposition 4.12 *With the notation introduced above,*

$$E(K) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta^c} (\#([wz] \cap K) - \lambda^2(w,z,K)) \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4}.$$

Proof. Let $m = \frac{1}{2}(z+w)$, $r = |z-w|$, and θ be the angle between $[wz]$ and any fixed direction. Then

$$d^2w d^2z = -r d^2m d\theta dr.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\Delta_\varepsilon^c} \#([wz] \cap K) \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4} = \int_\varepsilon^\infty \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \#([wz] \cap K) d^2m d\theta \right) \frac{dr}{r^3}.$$

Fixed $r > 0$, the integral between brackets runs over all the positions of an oriented segment of length r, and $d^2m d\theta$ is the Haar measure of the group of rigid plane motions. Hence Poincaré's formula gives

$$\int_\epsilon^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \#([wz] \cap K) d^2m d\theta \frac{dr}{r^3} = \int_\varepsilon^\infty 4r L(K) \frac{dr}{r^3} = \frac{4}{\varepsilon} L(K).$$

Finally

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\Delta_\varepsilon^c}(\#([wz]\cap K)-\lambda^2(w,z,K))\frac{d^2wd^2z}{|z-w|^4}=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{4L(K)}{\varepsilon}-2\int_{\Omega\times\Omega^c\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon}\frac{d^2wd^2z}{|z-w|^4}\right).$$

□

The two energies $E(\Omega)$ and $E(K)$ do not coincide, but they are related as follows.

Proposition 4.13

$$E(K)=-\frac{1}{2}\int_{K\times K}\sin\theta_p\sin\theta_q\frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2}=E(\Omega)-\frac{\pi^2}{4}\chi(\Omega).$$

Proof. Let us now consider the space $A(1,2)$ of lines in $\mathbb{R}^2$. This is a 2-dimensional manifold admiting an invariant measure given by $d\ell=dr\wedge d\theta$ where (r,θ) are the polar coordinates of the point in ℓ that is closest to the origin. We can describe each pair $(w,z)\in\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Delta$ by the line ℓ through them, and two arc-length parameters t,s along ℓ. With this notation we have (cf. [San, equation (4.2)])

$$d^2wd^2z=|t-s|dtdsd\ell.$$

On the other hand,

$$\#([wz]\cap K)-\lambda^2(w,z,K)=\sum\epsilon(p)\epsilon(q),$$

where the sum runs over all ordered pairs of distinct points p,q in $[wz]\cap K$. Hence, by the previous proposition,

$$E(K)=-\frac{1}{2}\int_{A(1,2)}\sum_{p,q\in\ell\cap K}\frac{\epsilon(p)\epsilon(q)}{|q-p|}d\ell. \tag{4.19}$$

It was shown in [Poh] that for any measurable function f on $K\times K$

$$\int_{A(1,2)}\sum_{p,q\in\ell\cap K}f(p,q)\epsilon(p)\epsilon(q)d\ell=\int_{K\times K}f(p,q)\sin\theta_p\sin\theta_q\frac{dpdq}{|q-p|}.$$

Taking $f(p,q)=|q-p|^{-1}$, the result follows. □

Together with Theorem 4.8 we have

Corollary 4.14 *When K is a simple closed curve*

$$E(K)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{L(K)}{2\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{4}\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}\right).$$

Remark 4.15 In particular, if Ω is convex, we have from (4.19) that

$$E(K)=\int_{A(1,2)}\frac{1}{L(\ell\cap\Omega)}d\ell,$$

where $L(\ell\cap\Omega)$ is the length of the chord. This extends in some sense the Crofton formulas discussed in [San, chapter 4].

It turns out that $E(K)$ appeared in a Gauss-Bonnet formula for complete surfaces in hyperbolic space, with a tame behaviour at infinity. Before recalling the formula, let us describe this condition on the asymptotic behaviour of the surfaces.

Definition 4.16 Let $f\colon S \looparrowright \mathbb{H}^n$ be an immersion of a $\mathcal{C}^2$-differentiable surface S in hyperbolic space. We say S has *cone-like ends* if

i) S is the interior of a compact surface with boundary $\overline{S}$, and taking the Poincaré half-space model of hyperbolic space, f extends to a $\mathcal{C}^2$-differentiable immersion $f : \overline{S} \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^n$,

ii) $C = f(\partial\overline{S})$ is a collection of simple closed curves contained in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n$, the boundary of the model, and

iii) $f(\overline{S})$ is orthogonal to $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n$ along C.

Proposition 4.17 ([So]) *Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a surface in Poincaré half-space model with cone-like ends on the curve $K = \partial_\infty S \subset \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^3 \equiv \mathbb{R}^2$. Then the following holds with $\delta(K)$ depending only on K*

$$\int_S \kappa \, dS = 2\pi\chi(S) + \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2} (\#(\ell_{wz} \cap S) - \lambda^2(w,z,K))\frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4} - \delta(K), \tag{4.20}$$

where κ denotes the extrinsic curvature of S, and ℓ_{wz} denotes the geodesic with ideal endpoints w, z.

Given $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and $R > 0$ we take the surface $S = K \times (0, R] \cup \Omega \times \{R\} \subset \mathbb{H}^3$. By taking limits as $R \to \infty$, the equation above becomes

$$\delta(K) = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2} (\#([wz] \cap K) - \lambda^2(w,z,K)))\frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4} = \frac{4}{\pi}E(K). \tag{4.21}$$

Corollary 4.18 *The energies considered are Möbius invariant in the following sense:*

(1) *If $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a smooth closed curve, then $E(K) = E(f(K))$ for every Möbius transformation f leaving K closed.*

(2) *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is bounded by a closed curve, then $E(\Omega) = E(f(\Omega))$ for every Möbius transformation f such that $\Omega, f(\Omega)$ are both compact or both unbounded.*

Proof. Clearly, $\delta(K)$ is invariant since all other terms in (4.20) are invariant. Together with (4.21), this proves the first statement. The second part follows then by Proposition 4.13. □

Proposition 4.19 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a compact domain with smooth boundary $K = \partial\Omega$. Then*

$$E(K) = \frac{\pi^2}{2}\chi(\Omega) + \int_{NT(\Omega)} \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4},$$

where $NT(\Omega)$ is the set of pairs $(w, z) \in \Omega \times \Omega$ such that any circle γ containing w and z intersects K.

Proof. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ be the intersection of all half-spaces containing Ω^c in its ideal set. This is a kind of convex hull of Ω^c, and is bounded by a surface S of class C^1. With the arguments of [So, Proposition 3], one can approximate S by a sequance of surfaces S_n with cone-like ends and with total curvatures converging to 0. Then Proposition 4.17 and (4.21) give

$$0 = 2\pi\chi(\Omega) + \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2} (\#(\ell_{wz} \cap S) - \lambda^2(w,z,K)))\frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z-w|^4} - \frac{4}{\pi}E(K).$$

Note that the integrand above is 2 if $w, z \in \Omega$ and $\ell_{wz} \cap Q \neq \emptyset$; otherwise it is 0. But ℓ meets the convex hull Q if and only if every geodesic plane $\wp$ containing ℓ meets Ω^c. □

Corollary 4.20 *Let Ω be compact with n connected components and let the boundary $K = \partial\Omega$ have k components. Then $E(\Omega) \geq (3n+k)\pi^2/4$ with equality only if $n = k = 1$ and Ω is a disk.*

Proof. Given a compact domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, we have from Theorem 4.10 that

$$E(\Omega) = E(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega) + \frac{\pi^2}{2}\chi(\Omega).$$

Let Ω be a compact connected domain with non-connected boundary. Then $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega = \cup_{i=1}^k \Omega_i$ for a collection of domains Ω_i (one of them, say Ω_1, non-compact) with connected boundaries $K_i = \partial\Omega_i$. Hence

$$E(\Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} E(\Omega_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} E(\Omega_i, \Omega_j) + \frac{\pi^2}{2}\chi(\Omega). \tag{4.22}$$

Clearly $E(\Omega_i, \Omega_j) > 0$, and by the previous proposition

$$E(\Omega_i) = E(K_i) + \frac{\pi^2}{4} \geq \frac{3\pi^2}{4}, \text{ for } i > 1, \qquad E(\Omega_1) = E(K_1) - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \geq \frac{\pi^2}{2}$$

Hence,

$$E(\Omega) \geq \frac{3(k-1)\pi^2}{4} + \frac{\pi^2}{2} = \frac{3(k+1)\pi^2}{4}.$$

If Ω has n connected components, we just need to use again that mutual energies are positive.

Suppose now that we have the equality in the inequalities above. Then clearly $k = 1$, and $NT(\Omega)$ has empty interior. Let now D be a maximal closed disc contained in Ω. If $\Omega \neq D$, then Ω has a bigger diameter than D. But then $(w, z) \in NT(\Omega)$ whenever $|z - w|$ is close to the diameter of Ω. We conclude that $\Omega = D$. □

5 A new Möbius invariant functional for space curves

5.1 Mutual energies for space curves

Let $K_1, K_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a pair of disjoint oriented space curves. Each of them is the boundary of an orientable surface Ω_i (Seifert surface), but we will need these surfaces to be disjoint. This is only true if K_1, K_2 are splittable. Hence we consider $K_1, K_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 5$. Then there exist disjoint orientable surfaces $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\partial\Omega_i = K_i$. Now we can generalize the definition 3.1 to space curves.

Definition 5.1 In the situation described above, we define the mutual energy of K_1, K_2 by

$$E(K_1, K_2) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \frac{\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}}{2} \wedge \frac{\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}}{2}$$

where $\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}$ is the canonical symplectic form of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Delta \cong T^*\mathbb{R}^n$

This definition does not depend on the choice of Ω_1, Ω_2 as shown by the following proposition

Proposition 5.2 *In the situation above,*

$$E(K_1, K_2) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{K_1 \times K_2} \cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2 \frac{dp_1 dp_2}{|p_2 - p_1|^2}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\theta_i \in [0, \pi]$ is the angle between $\overrightarrow{dp_i}$ and $p_2 - p_1$.

Proof. The proof of (3.1) also works here but using

$$\lambda = \frac{\sum(z_i - w_i)dz_i}{|z-w|^2}, \qquad \rho = \frac{\sum(z_i - w_i)dw_i}{|z-w|^2}, \qquad \omega = -\frac{1}{2}\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}$$

so that $d\lambda = d\rho = \omega$ (cf. (2.3) and (2.4)). □

5.2 Linking with circles

Next we give an interpretation of $E(K_1, K_2)$ as the average of some linking numbers with circles. Recall the following result of [BP]: given two disjoint oriented curves $K_1, K_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, one has

$$\int_{A(1,3)} \lambda(\ell, K_1) \cdot \lambda(\ell, K_2) d\ell = \int_{K_1 \times K_2} \cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2 dp_1 dp_2$$

where $A(1,3)$ is the space of lines $\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, endowed with an invariant measure $d\ell$, and λ denotes the linking number. Note that the integrand on the left hand side is independent of the orientation of ℓ. It changes sign when we change the orientation of K_1 or K_2.

We now look for an analogue of the previous result in the realm of Möbius geometry. The role of lines will be played by circles. Let us denote the set of all oriented circles $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ by $\mathcal{S}(1,3)$. This is a homogeneous space of the Möbius group Möb_3 with isotropy group $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \text{Möb}_1$. Since these are unimodular groups, the space of circles $\mathcal{S}(1,3)$ admits a measure $d\gamma$ invariant under Möb_3. Let us describe this measure explicitely. Each circle $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is uniquely determined by its center $c \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the radius $r > 0$, and a unit vector $n \in \mathbb{S}^2$ orthogonal to the plane containing γ. Then the (unique up to a constant factor) Möbius invariant measure on the space of circles is

$$d\gamma = \frac{1}{r^4} dr dc du$$

where dc is the volume element of $c \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and du denotes the area element of $u \in \mathbb{S}^2$. Indeed, the latter is clearly invariant under the group Sim_3 generated by rigid motions and homotheties of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Such transformations act transitively on the space of circles. Hence, every two measures on $\mathcal{S}(1,3)$ that are invariant under Sim_3 must be a constant multiple of each other. But clearly the measures invariant under Möb_3, which we know exist, are also invariant under Sim_3.

Our next goal is to compute

$$I_3(K_1, K_2) = \int_{\mathcal{S}(1,3)} \lambda(\gamma, K_1) \cdot \lambda(\gamma, K_2) d\gamma.$$

It will be useful to take S_1, S_2 disjoint surfaces with $\partial S_i = K_i$. This is not possible if the curves are linked. To solve this we consider again $K_1, K_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 5$, and we consider the general problem of determining

$$I_n(K_1, K_2) = \int_{\mathcal{S}(n-2,n)} \lambda(\xi, K_1) \cdot \lambda(\xi, K_2) d\xi$$

where $d\xi$ is the conformally invariant measure in the space of oriented codimension 2 spheres $\mathcal{S}(n-2, n)$. Just like in the case when $n = 3$, this space admits a Möbius invariant measure given in terms of the radius r, the center $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a normal direction $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ by

$$d\xi = \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} dr dc du.$$

Note that considering $K_1, K_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ one has $I_n(K_1, K_2) = c_{n,p} I_{n+p}(K_1, K_2)$ for a constant $c_{n,p}$ to be computed. Therefore, it is enough to consider the problem for $n \geq 5$.

Let $\mathcal{S}(0,n) = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Delta$ denote the space of point pairs (oriented 0-spheres). We consider the flag space

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(w, z; \xi) \in \mathcal{S}(0,n) \times \mathcal{S}(n-2,n) | w, z \in \xi\}.$$

There is a natural double fibration

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathcal{F} \arrow[dl, "\pi_1"'] \arrow[dr, "\pi_2"] & \\ \mathcal{S}(0,n) & & \mathcal{S}(n-2,n) \end{tikzcd}$$

with π_1, π_2 the obvious maps. Note that $\mathcal{F}$ can be identified with $S(0,n) \times G^+(2,n)$ where $G^+(2,n)$ denotes the Grassmannian of oriented planes in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This way π_1 is just the projection on the first factor. Note that the dimensions of $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{S}(0,n)$, and $\mathcal{S}(n-2,n)$ are given by $4n-4, 2n$, and $2n$ respectively.

Proposition 5.3 *Let $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be disjoint surfaces with boundary K_1, K_2 respectively. Then*

$$I_n(K_1, K_2) = \int_{S_1 \times S_2} ((\pi_1)_* \circ \pi_2^*)(d\xi) = -\frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-2})}{2n(n-1)\pi} \cdot \int_{S_1 \times S_2} \omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n} \wedge \omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}$$

where $(\pi_1)_*$ denotes integration along the fibers of π_1.

Proof. We show first the second equality. Given an orthonormal frame $o; e_1, \ldots, e_{n+1}$ of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, we consider the geodesic $\ell(t) = \exp_o(te_1)$, and the codimension 2 geodesic plane $L = \exp_o(e_n \wedge e_{n+1})^\perp$. This defines an element $(w, z; \xi) \in \mathcal{F}$, where $z = \lim_{t \to -\infty} \ell(t)$, $w = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \ell(t)$, and $\xi \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ is the set of ideal points of L. Using such local frames one can write

$$d\xi = \omega_{n+1} \wedge \omega_{1,n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1,n+1} \wedge \omega_n \wedge \omega_{1,n} \wedge \ldots \omega_{n-1,n}, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\omega_i = \langle do, e_i \rangle$, and $\omega_{ij} = \langle \nabla e_i, e_j \rangle$, where ∇ is the riemannian connection of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. Indeed, the right hand side is a common expression of the isometry invariant measure of (codimension 2) geodesic planes of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ (cf. [San]). Hence both sides coincide except for a constant factor. To find this factor, we assume by invariance that $o = (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$ in the half-space model, and e_i is the canonical basis. Then $r = 1$, and $dr = \omega_{n+1}$, $dc = \omega_{1,n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1,n+1} \wedge \omega_n$, $du = \omega_{1,n} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1,n}$. This shows (5.2).

Now, given $(w, z) \in \mathcal{S}(0,n)$ take a frame $p, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n+1}$ as above. Then, for any point $(w, z; \xi) \in \pi_1^{-1}(w, z)$ in the fiber we can choose a frame $p; u_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n+1}$. Note that,

$$\omega_n \wedge \omega_{n+1} = \langle e_n \wedge e_{n+1}, dp \wedge dp \rangle = \langle \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n+1} p_{ij} u_i \wedge u_j, dp \wedge dp \rangle,$$

$$\omega_{1,n} \wedge \omega_{1,n+1} = \langle e_n \wedge e_{n+1}, \nabla e_1 \wedge \nabla e_1 \rangle = \langle \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n+1} p_{ij} u_i \wedge u_j, \nabla e_1 \wedge \nabla e_1 \rangle,$$

where p_{ij} are the Plücker coordinates of $e_n \wedge e_{n+1}$ in $\bigwedge^2 (e_1)^\perp \subset \bigwedge^2 T_p \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. i.e.,

$$p_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} \langle e_n, u_i \rangle & \langle e_n, u_j \rangle \\ \langle e_{n+1}, u_i \rangle & \langle e_{n+1}, u_j \rangle \end{vmatrix}.$$

This way, the fiber $\pi_1^{-1}(w,z)$ is identified to a submanifold P (given by the Plücker relations) of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ with $N=\binom{n}{2}$. Thus

$$d\xi=\sum_{i<j,r<s}p_{ij}p_{rs}(\langle u_i,dp\rangle\wedge\langle u_j,dp\rangle\wedge\langle u_i,\nabla e_1\rangle\wedge\langle u_j,\nabla e_1\rangle)dP, \tag{5.3}$$

where dP is the volume element on P induced by the metric of $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$. Now, since $P\subset\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ and P is isometric to the grassmannian of oriented 2-planes in $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\int_P p_{ij}^2dP=\binom{n}{2}^{-1}\int_P\sum_{2\leq r<s\leq n+1}p_{rs}^2dP=\binom{n}{2}^{-1}\mathrm{vol}(P)=\binom{n}{2}^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-2})}{2\pi}.$$

Let this constant be denoted by c. On the other hand, the function p_{ij} is odd with respect to the symmetry of $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ fixing $(u_i)^\perp$. Hence,

$$\int_P p_{ij}p_{rs}dP=0\quad\text{for }\{i,j\}\neq\{r,s\}.$$

Therefore

$$\pi_{1*}\pi_2^*d\xi=\int_{\pi_1^{-1}(w,z)}\pi_2^*d\xi=c\sum_{2\leq i<j\leq n+1}\langle u_i,dp\rangle\wedge\langle u_j,dp\rangle\wedge\langle u_i,\nabla e_1\rangle\wedge\langle u_j,\nabla e_1\rangle$$

$$=c\sum_{2\leq i<j\leq n+1}\omega_i\wedge\omega_j\wedge\omega_{1i}\wedge\omega_{1j}=-\frac{c}{2}d\omega_1\wedge d\omega_1=-\frac{c}{2}\cdot\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}\wedge\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

since $d\omega_1=-\omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^n}$.

In order to show the first equality, let us consider the region $U=\pi_1^{-1}(S_1\times S_2)\subset\mathcal{F}$, and the mapping $\phi=\pi_2|_U\colon U\to\mathcal{S}(n-2,n)$. By (5.3), one can chek that the multiplicity of $\xi\in\mathcal{S}(n-2,n)$ as an image value of ϕ (taking orientations into account) is given by

$$\nu(\xi)=\sum_{z\in\xi\cap S_1 w\in\xi\cap S_2}\epsilon_i(z)\epsilon(w)=(\xi\cdot S_1)(\xi\cdot S_2)=\lambda(\xi,K_1)\lambda(\xi,K_2).$$

Here $S(n-2,n)$ was oriented by $d\xi$, and we used the orientation in $U\equiv S_1\times S_2\times G^+(2,n)$ given by $dS^1\wedge dS^2\wedge dP$. Finally, the coarea formula and integration along the fibers yield

$$I_n(K_1,K_2)=\int_{\mathcal{S}(n-2,2)}\nu(\xi)d\xi=\int_U\pi_2^*(d\xi)=\int_{\pi_1^{-1}(S_1\times S_2)}\pi_2^*(d\xi)=\int_{S_1\times S_2}(\pi_1)_*\pi_2^*d\xi.$$

$\square$

In particular,

$$c_{n,p}=\frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n+p})\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n+p-1})n(n-1)}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^n)\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})(n+p)(n+p-1)}.$$

Corollary 5.4 *The mutual energy of a pair of disjoint space curves is given by*

$$E(K_1,K_2)=-\frac{3}{16\pi}\int_{\mathcal{S}(1,3)}\lambda(\gamma,K_1)\lambda(\gamma,K_2)d\gamma.$$

Remark 5.5 Note that $E(K_1,K_2)=0$ does not imply that every circle is trivially linked with K_1 or with K_2. An example where the mutual energy vanishes is given by a pair of circles K_1,K_2 such that K_1 is orthogonal to every sphere containing K_2. For instance, K_1 can be the line of points $p\in\mathbb{R}^3$ such that $|q-p|$ is constant for all $q\in K_2$.

5.3 Renormalized measure of circles linking a space curve

Let us now consider a single space curve $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ which is assumed to be closed but not necessarily connected. We will define a functional $E(K)$ such that $E(K_1 \cup K_2) = E(K_1) + E(K_2) + 2E(K_1, K_2)$ whenever K_1, K_2 are disjoint. Our results are closely analogous to the following formula due to Banchoff and Pohl (cf.[BP])

$$\int_{A(1,3)} \lambda(\ell, K)^2 d\ell = -\int_{K\times K} \cos\tau \sin\theta_p \sin\theta_q dpdq = \int_{K\times K} \cos\theta_p \cos\theta_q dpdq, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\theta_p \in [0,\pi]$ (resp. $\theta_q \in [0,\pi]$) is the angle between $\overrightarrow{dp}$ (resp. $\overrightarrow{dq}$) and $q-p$, and τ is the angle between the two oriented planes through p, q tangent to K at p and q respectively. These planes are oriented by $\overrightarrow{dp} \wedge (q-p)$ and $\overrightarrow{dq} \wedge (q-p)$ respectively. In order to define $E(K)$ it would be natural to consider

$$\int_{S(1,3)} \lambda(\gamma, K)^2 d\gamma.$$

However this integral diverges due to the blow up of the density $d\gamma = r^{-4} drdcdn$ when the radius r goes to 0. Hence we take the following renormalization.

Definition 5.6 *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a closed (maybe non-connected) space curve of class C^2. We define*

$$E(K) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{3\pi L(K)}{8\varepsilon} - \frac{3}{16\pi}\int_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon(1,3)} \lambda(\gamma, K)^2 d\gamma\right),$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon(1,3)$ is the open set of $\mathcal{S}(1,3)$ containing the circles of radius $r > \varepsilon$.

The following proposition gives an expression of $E(K)$ which involves no renormalization.

Proposition 5.7 *The previous limit exists, and coincides with the following integral*

$$E(K) = \frac{3}{16\pi}\int_{\mathcal{S}(1,3)} (\#(K \cap [\gamma]) - \lambda(\gamma, K)^2) d\gamma,$$

where $[\gamma]$ denotes the disk with boundary γ. The previous integral converges and coincides with

$$E(K) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{K\times K} \cos\tau \sin\theta_p \sin\theta_q \frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2}, \tag{5.5}$$

where θ_p, θ_q and τ are as in (5.4).

Proof. Let $A(2,3)$ be the space of oriented affine planes of $\mathbb{R}^3$, and let $d\wp$ be an invariant measure on it. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon(1,3)} \#(K\cap[\gamma]) d\gamma = \int_{A(2,3)}\int_\varepsilon^\infty \int_\wp \#(B_c(r)\cap\wp\cap K)\frac{dcdrd\wp}{r^4} = \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon}\int_{A(2,3)} \#(\wp\cap K) d\wp = \frac{2\pi^2}{\varepsilon} L(K). \tag{5.6}$$

This shows the first equation, except for the convergence of the integral. To see the second part we start with

$$\int_{S(1,3)} (\#([\gamma]\cap K) - \lambda^2(\gamma, K)) d\gamma = -\int_{A(2,3)}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{4}\int_\wp \sum_{x,y} \epsilon(x)\epsilon(y)\frac{1}{r^4} dcdrd\wp$$

where the sum runs over the pairs $x, y \in K \cap B_c(r) \cap \wp$, and $\epsilon(x), \epsilon(y)$ are the intersection signs of K and $\wp$. Now, an elementary computation shows

$$E(K) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{A(2,3)} \sum_{x,y \in \wp \cap K} \frac{\epsilon(x)\epsilon(y)}{|y-x|} d\wp.$$

Finally, by the results of Pohl [Poh] we get

$$E(K) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{K \times K} \cos\tau \sin\theta_p \sin\theta_q \frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2}.$$

We can now check the convergence of the integrals since

$$\sin\theta_p = \frac{\kappa(p)}{2}|q-p| + O(|q-p|^2) \tag{5.7}$$

where κ denotes the curvature of K. Indeed, let $f : (0, \varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be an embedding with $f((0, \varepsilon)) \subset K$ and $|f'(s)| = 1$ for all $s \in (0, \varepsilon)$. Then, for $p = f(s), q = f(t)$

$$|\sin\theta_p| = \left| f'(s) \times \frac{(f(t)-f(s))}{|f(t)-f(s)|} \right| = \frac{|f'(s) \times (f'(s)(t-s) + \frac{1}{2}f''(s)(t-s)^2 + O(|t-s|^3)|}{|f(t)-f(s)|}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}|f''(s)||t-s| + O((t-s)^2).$$

□

By Proposition 4.13 we have

Corollary 5.8 *When K is a planar curve, Definitions 4.11 and 5.6 of the energy $E(K)$ coincide.*

In particular $E(K) > 0$ for K planar and convex. This explains the choice of the sign in the definition of E. However, for space curves there is no lower (nor upper) bound of E. Indeed, if two arcs of K come close to each other (not othogonally) then $E(K)$ blows up to $\pm\infty$.

Remark 5.9 The functional E is continuous with respect to the topology of uniform C^2 convergence. Even more, suppose a sequence of closed curves $K_n \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ converging pointwise to a closed embedded curve $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ in the C^1 topology, and with uniformly bounded curvature. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} E(K_n) = E(K)$. This follows from (5.5), and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, which applies here in virtue of (5.7).

It is interesting to recall that the *writhe* of K is given by

$$W(K) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{K \times K} \sin\tau \sin\theta_p \sin\theta_q \frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2}.$$

Recall also that $W(K)$ is the average of signed self-intersections of projections of K. A remarkable fact is that the writhe is invariant under orientation preserving Möbius transformations (cf. [BW]). We will see below that $E(K)$ not only has an integral expression similar to $W(K)$, but it shares also this invariance.

Proposition 5.10

$$E(K) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\frac{L(K)}{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{K \times K \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon} \cos\theta_p \cos\theta_q \frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2} \right). \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. We use intergation by parts, as in Proposition 5 of [BP]. Given $p, q \in K \times K \setminus \Delta$ let e_1, e_2, e_3 be an orthonormal moving frame (locally defined on $K \times K \setminus \Delta$ with $e_1 = (q-p)/|q-p|$, and $e_3 \perp T_pK$. As usual let $\omega_i = dp \cdot e_i$, and $\omega_{ij} = de_i \cdot e_j$. Then

$$\cos\theta_p \cos\theta_q \frac{dp \wedge dq}{|q-p|^2} = -\frac{d(|q-p|) \wedge \omega_1}{|q-p|^2} = d\left(\frac{1}{|q-p|}\right) \wedge \omega_1$$

$$= d\left(\frac{\omega_1}{|q-p|}\right) - \frac{1}{|q-p|} d\omega_1 = d\left(\frac{\omega_1}{|q-p|}\right) - \frac{\omega_{12} \wedge \omega_2}{|q-p|} - \frac{\omega_{13} \wedge \omega_3}{|q-p|}$$

$$= d\left(\frac{\omega_1}{|q-p|}\right) + \cos\tau \sin\theta_p \sin\theta_q \frac{dp \wedge dq}{|q-p|^2}.$$

On the other hand

$$\int_{K \times K \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon} d\frac{\omega_{12}}{|q-p|} = \int_{\partial \Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{\omega_{12}}{|q-p|} = 2\int_K \frac{1}{\varepsilon} dq + O(\varepsilon) = \frac{2}{\varepsilon} L(K) + O(\varepsilon).$$

□

Propositions 5.2 and 5.10 imply

Corollary 5.11 *Let K_1, K_2 be a pair of disjoint oriented curves. Then*

$$E(K_1 \cup K_2) = E(K_1) + E(K_2) + 2E(K_1, K_2). \tag{5.9}$$

With the equation above and Definition 5.6 we recover Corollary 5.4.

Proposition 5.12 *We have*

$$E(K) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\frac{L(K)}{2\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{4} \int_{K \times K \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{\overrightarrow{dp} \cdot \overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2} \right),$$

where $\overrightarrow{dp} \cdot \overrightarrow{dq} = dp_1 \wedge dq_1 + dp_2 \wedge dq_2 + dp_3 \wedge dq_3$.

Proof. It is elementary to see

$$\overrightarrow{dp} \cdot \overrightarrow{dq} = (\cos\theta_p \cos\theta_q + \cos\tau \sin\theta_p \sin\theta_q) dp dq.$$

Now averaging (5.5) and (5.8) gives the result. □

Corollary 5.13 *Let K_1, K_2 be a pair of disjoint curves. Then*

$$E(K_1, K_2) = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{K_1 \times K_2} \frac{\overrightarrow{dp} \cdot \overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}.$$

5.4 Gauss-Bonnet theorem for complete surfaces in hyperbolic space

Next we show the Möbius invariance of $E(K)$. To this end we will use a Gauss-Bonnet formula for complete surfaces in hyperbolic space.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^4$ be a surface in hyperbolic 4-space (Poincaré model) with cone-like ends on the curve $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3 = \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^4$. Given an element $(x,n) \in N^1S$, the unit normal bundle of S, the Lipschitz-Killing curvature $\kappa(x,n)$ is defined as the determinant of the endomorphism $dn_{(x,n)}$ of $T_{(x,n)}(N^1S)$. We are interested in the integral of $\kappa(x,n)$ along the fibers N^1_xS of N^1S. Using Gauss equation one gets easily

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{N^1_xS}\kappa(x,e)de = \kappa_i(x)+1 \tag{5.10}$$

where de is the volume element on N^1_xS, and κ_i denotes the Gauss (intrinsic) curvature of S. The additive constant 1 comes from the sectional curvature of the ambient space $\mathbb{H}^4$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ let $S_\varepsilon := \{x \in S | x^4 \geq \varepsilon\}$. Then, the classical intrinsic Gauss-Bonnet formula gives

$$\int_{S_\varepsilon}(\kappa_i+1)dS = 2\pi\chi(S_\varepsilon)+A(S_\varepsilon)-\int_{\partial S_\varepsilon}k_g = 2\pi\chi(S_\varepsilon)+A(S_\varepsilon)-\frac{L(K)}{\varepsilon}+O(\varepsilon)$$

where k_g is the geodesic curvature in S_ε. We used $k_g = 1+O(\varepsilon^2)$, and the fact that euclidean lengths of ∂S_e and K have a difference of order ε^2. Taking limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ we get

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{N^1S}\kappa(x,e)dedS = \int_S(\kappa_i(x)+1)dS = 2\pi\chi(S)+\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(A(S_\varepsilon)-\frac{L(K)}{\varepsilon}\right). \tag{5.11}$$

The convergence of the integrals follows from the hypothesis that S has cone-like ends by the same arguments as Proposition 7 in [So]. This formula appeared in a more general setting in [AM]. The latter limit was called *renormalized area* of S. Here we will use a different renormalization that leads to the same value.

Proposition 5.14 *Let $\mathcal{L}_2^+$ denote the space of oriented 2-dimensional geodesic planes in $\mathbb{H}^4$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{2,\varepsilon}^+$ be the subset of $\mathcal{L}_2^+$ containing the planes which define a circle in $\mathbb{R}^3 = \partial_\infty\mathbb{H}^4$ of radius bigger than ε. Then the renormalized area of S is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(A(S_\varepsilon)-\frac{L(K)}{\varepsilon}\right) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{3}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathcal{L}_2^+}\#(\ell\cap S_\varepsilon)d\ell-\frac{L(K)}{\varepsilon}\right)\\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{3}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\varepsilon}^+}\#(\ell\cap S)d\ell-\frac{3L(K)}{2\varepsilon}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $d\ell$ is the pull-back of $d\gamma$ through $\mathcal{L}_2^+ \ni \ell \mapsto \gamma = \partial_\infty\ell \in \mathcal{S}(1,3)$.

Proof. The first equality follows immediately from Crofton formula (cf. [San, p.245]). In order to check the second equality, we need the following claim: given two surfaces $R, S \subset \mathbb{H}^4$ with cone-like ends on the same ideal curve $K \subset \partial_\infty\mathbb{H}^4$, one has

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\mathcal{L}_2^+}(\#(\ell\cap R_\varepsilon)-\#(\ell\cap S_\varepsilon))d\ell = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\varepsilon}^+}(\#(\ell\cap R)-\#(\ell\cap S))d\ell. \tag{5.12}$$

Indeed, given $\varepsilon \geq 0$ we consider

$$E_\varepsilon = \{(p,\ell)\in\mathbb{H}^4\times\mathcal{L}_2 \mid p\in\ell\cap(S_\varepsilon\cup R_\varepsilon)\}$$

endowed with the measure given by the pull-back of $d\ell$ through the projection $E_\varepsilon \to \mathcal{L}_2$. We consider also the function f defined on E_0 by pulling back the difference of indicator functions $\mathbf{1}_S - \mathbf{1}_R$. Then (5.12) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{E_\varepsilon} f = \int_{E_0} f.$$

And the latter is true since f is absolutely integrable on E_0, as can be shown with the same arguments as in section 3 of [So].

Therefore, it is enough to prove the second equality of the statement in the particular case $S = K \times (0,\infty) \subset \mathbb{H}^4$. In this case, by the Crofton formula

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}_2^+} \#(\ell\cap S_\varepsilon)d\ell = \frac{4\pi^2}{3}A(S_\varepsilon) = \frac{4\pi^2}{3}L(K)\int_\varepsilon^\infty \frac{1}{t^2}dt = \frac{4\pi^2}{3\varepsilon}L(K)$$

By (5.6) we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\varepsilon}^+} \#(\ell\cap S)d\ell = \frac{2\pi^2}{\varepsilon}L(K).$$

Hence, all the limits in the statement vanish trivially for $S = K\times(0,\infty)$. □

By the previous proposition equation (5.11) becomes

$$\int_S(\kappa_i+1)dS = 2\pi\chi(S) + \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{3}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\varepsilon}^+}\#(\ell\cap S)d\ell - \frac{3}{2\varepsilon}L(K)\right).$$

Combining this with Proposition 5.12 we get the following.

Corollary 5.15 *For any surface $S\subset\mathbb{H}^4$ with cone-like ends*

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{N^1S}\kappa(x,e)dedS = \int_S(\kappa_i(x)+1)dS = 2\pi\chi(S) + \frac{3}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathcal{L}_2^+}(\#(\ell\cap S)-\lambda^2(\ell,K))d\ell - \frac{2}{\pi}E(K).$$

In particular, $E(K)$ is invariant under Möbius transformations.

A direct proof of the Möbius invariance of $E(K)$ is given in subsection 7.3.

5.5 Expressions via parallel curves

Here we show the following

Proposition 5.16 *Suppose the curvature of K never vanishes. Let K_ε be an ε-parallel curve given by $K_\varepsilon = \{x+\varepsilon\, n(x)\ |x\in K\}$, where n is the unit principal normal vector to K. Then*

$$E(K) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\varepsilon}L(K) + E(K,K_\varepsilon)\right) - \frac{\pi}{8}\int_K\kappa(p)dp, \tag{5.13}$$

where κ is the curvature of K.

Remark 5.17 The previous hypothesis is no loss of generality: performing a Möbius transformation we can bring every space curve K to a position $\widetilde{K}$ where it has non-vanishing curvature. Moreover this transformation can be taken arbitrarily close to the identity. Indeed, Let CT denote the curvature tube of K, namely, $CT = \cup_{p\in K}C_O(p)$, where $C_O(p)$ denotes the osculating circle to K at p. Then, the image of K by the composition of an inversion in a sphere with a sufficiently big radius r whose center does not belong to the curvature tube and is of distance r from K, and a reflection in a plane gives the desired $\widetilde{K}$ after a motion of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

We will need the following estimate.

Lemma 5.18 *Let K be a simple space curve of class C^2 with a non-vanishing curvature. Let ε and δ be small positive numbers with $\delta \ll \varepsilon$. Then for any point p in K we have*

$$\int_{K_\delta \cap B_\varepsilon(p)} \frac{\boldsymbol{v}_p \cdot \overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2} = \frac{\pi}{\delta} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} - \frac{\pi}{2}\kappa(p) + O(\varepsilon), \tag{5.14}$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}_p$ is the unit tangent vector to K at p.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.4. Suppose K can be expressed as $K = f(\mathbb{S}^1)$ by a C^2-embedding f which is parametrized by the arc-length and the point p is given by $p = f(0)$. Then K_δ is given by $K_\delta = f_\delta(\mathbb{S}^1)$, where $f_\delta = f + \delta\kappa^{-1}f''$. Assume $f(0) = 0$. Note that $f' \cdot f' \equiv 1$ and $f'' \cdot f'' = \kappa^2$ imply

$$f' \cdot f'' = 0,\ f' \cdot f''' = -\kappa^2,\ f' \cdot f^{(4)} = -3\kappa\kappa', \quad \text{and} \quad f'' \cdot f''' = \kappa\kappa'.$$

The numerator of the left hand side of (5.14) can be estimated as

$$f'(0) \cdot f_\delta'(s) = (1 - \kappa(0)\delta) - \kappa'(0)\delta s - \frac{\kappa^2(0) + O(1)\delta}{2}\, s^2 + O(s^3) \tag{5.15}$$

since direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} f'(0) \cdot f_\delta'(0) &= 1 - \kappa(0)\delta, \\ f'(0) \cdot f_\delta''(0) &= -\kappa'(0)\delta, \\ f'(0) \cdot f_\delta'''(0) &= -\kappa^2(0) + O(1)\delta. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the denominator of the left hand side of (5.14) can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} f_\delta(s) \cdot f_\delta(s) &= f(s) \cdot f(s) + 2\frac{\delta}{\kappa(s)} f(s) \cdot f''(s) + \delta^2 \\ &= \delta^2 + \left(s^2 - \frac{\kappa^2(0)}{12}s^4 + O(s^5)\right) + 2\delta\left(\frac{1}{\kappa(0)} + O(s)\right)\left(-\frac{\kappa^2(0)}{2}s^2 + O(s^3)\right) \\ &= \delta^2 + (1 - \kappa(0)\delta)\, s^2 + \delta O(s^3) + O(s^4) \\ &= \left(\delta^2 + (1 - \kappa(0)\delta)s^2\right)\left(1 + O(1)s^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

We remark that (5.16) follows from the one above just like (4.8) from (4.7).

Let us denote $\kappa(0)$ and $\kappa'(0)$ simply by κ and κ' in what follows. Let $s_- < 0$ and $s_+ > 0$ be parameters when $f_\delta(s)$ passes through $\partial B_\varepsilon(f(0))$. Then, just like (4.8) implies (4.9), (5.16) implies

$$s_\pm = \pm\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon^2 - \delta^2}{1 - \kappa\delta}} + O(\varepsilon^3).$$

Therefore, by (5.16) and (5.15), the left hand side of (5.14) can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon^2-\delta^2}{1-\kappa\delta}}+O(\varepsilon^3)}^{\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon^2-\delta^2}{1-\kappa\delta}}+O(\varepsilon^3)} \frac{\left\{(1-\kappa\delta)-\kappa'\delta s+O(1)s^2\right\}\left(1+O(1)s^2\right)}{\delta^2+(1-\kappa\delta)s^2}\,ds \\
&= \int_{-\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon^2-\delta^2}{1-\kappa\delta}}}^{\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon^2-\delta^2}{1-\kappa\delta}}} \frac{1-\kappa\delta}{\delta^2+(1-\kappa\delta)s^2}\,ds+O(\varepsilon) \\
&= \frac{2}{\delta}\sqrt{1-\kappa\delta}\,\arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2-\delta^2}}{\delta}\right)+O(\varepsilon) \\
&= \frac{2}{\delta}\left(1-\frac{\kappa}{2}\delta\right)\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\right)+O(\varepsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

which coincides with the right hand side of (5.14). □

We remark that the lemma holds when K and K_δ are planar curves with $K=\partial\Omega$ and $K_\delta=\partial\Omega_\delta$.

Proposition 5.16 is immediate from Proposition 5.12, Corollary 5.13, and the following.

Proposition 5.19 *Let K be a simple space curve of class C^2. Assume K has a non-vanishing curvature. Let K_δ be a δ-parallel of K in the principal normal direction. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{L(K)}{2\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{4}\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}\right)=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(K)-\frac{1}{4}\int_{K\times K_\delta}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}\right)-\frac{\pi}{8}\int_K\kappa(p)dp.$$

Proof. We first fix ε so that $0<\varepsilon<1/\max_{p\in K}\kappa(p)$. Suppose $\delta<\varepsilon$. Then

$$-\frac{1}{4}\int_{K\times K_\delta}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}=-\frac{1}{4}\int_{p\in K}\left(\int_{q\in K_\delta\cap B_\varepsilon(p)}\frac{\boldsymbol{v}_p\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}+\int_{q\in K_\delta\cap(B_\varepsilon(p))^c}\frac{\boldsymbol{v}_p\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}\right)dp.$$

If $\delta\ll\varepsilon$ then

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\int_{p\in K}\int_{q\in K_\delta\cap(B_\varepsilon(p))^c}\frac{\boldsymbol{v}_p\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}=\int_{p\in K}\int_{q\in K\cap(B_\varepsilon(p))^c}\frac{\boldsymbol{v}_p\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}.$$

Therefore, by lemma 5.18 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{4}\int_{K\times K_\delta}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}&=-\frac{1}{4}\int_{p\in K}\left(\int_{K\setminus B_\varepsilon(p)}\frac{\boldsymbol{v}_p\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}+\frac{\pi}{\delta}-\frac{2}{\varepsilon}-\frac{\pi}{2}\kappa(p)\right)dp+O(\varepsilon)\\
&=-\frac{1}{4}\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}-\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(K)+\frac{L(K)}{2\varepsilon}+\frac{\pi}{8}\int_K\kappa(p)dp+O(\varepsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(K)-\frac{1}{4}\int_{K\times K_\delta}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}\right)-\frac{\pi}{8}\int_K\kappa(p)dp=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{L(K)}{2\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{4}\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}\right),$$

which completes the proof. □

6 Möbius invariant expressions

For a compact simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with smooth boundary $K = \partial\Omega$, Theorem 1 in [So] yields

$$E(\Omega) = \frac{\pi^2}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\int_{K\times K} \theta \sin\theta \frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2} \tag{6.1}$$

where θ is the oriented angle at p between K (positively oriented), and the circle through p and q that is positively tangent to K at q. More precisely, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is the unique continuous determination of this angle defined on $K \times K$ that vanishes on the diagonal. Note that, unlike the previous expressions we obtained, the integrand in (6.1) is pointwise Möbius invariant.

Next we generalize (6.1) to compact domains, not necessarily simply connected. By equation (5.9) it is enough to give analogous expressions for the mutual energy $E(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ of two disjoint simply connected domains Ω_1, Ω_2. To this end, we will work with the flag space defined in (4.12), taking $n = 2$. By thinking of $\mathbb{R}^2$ as the ideal boundary of half-space model of $\mathbb{H}^3$, each element $(w, z; \gamma) \in \mathcal{F}$ corresponds to a pair $(\ell, \wp)$ where $\ell \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ is a geodesic line contained in the geodesic plane $\wp \subset \mathbb{H}^3$. Let us choose (locally) an orthonormal frame $(o; e_1, e_2, e_3)$ with $o \in \ell$, $e_1 \in T_o\ell$, $e_3 \perp T_o\wp$. It is easy to check that the 1-form $\omega_{23} = \langle \nabla e_2, e_3\rangle$ is independent of this choice. Hence it defines a global 1-form φ on $\mathcal{F}$. By construction, φ is Möbius invariant, it vanishes on the fibers of π_2 and measures the oriented angle on the fibers of π_1. The interest of φ comes from the fact that, by (4.12) we have $d\varphi = 2\pi_1^*\mathfrak{Im}\,(\omega_{cr})$.

Lemma 6.1 *Let $c(t) = (z(t), w(t); \gamma(t))$ be a curve in $\mathcal{F}$, such that $z(t) \equiv z$ is constant and the circles $\gamma(t)$ are all mutually tangent at z. Then $\varphi(c'(0)) = 0$.*

Proof. The curve $c(t)$ corresponds to a family of pairs $(\ell(t), \wp(t))$ with $\ell(t) \subset \wp(t) \subset \mathbb{H}^3$. By hypothesis, these geodesics $\ell(t)$ have a common ideal endpoint z. By a Möbius transformation we can send z to infinity. This way, the geodesics $\ell(t)$ become vertical lines in the model. Morevoer, by hypothesis the totally geodesic planes $\wp(t)$ are mapped to a family of vertical parallel affine planes in the model. Then we can choose a moving frame $o(t), e_1(t), e_2(t), e_3(t)$ adapted to (the image of) $(\ell(t), \wp(t))$ as above and such that $o(t) = (o^1(t), o^2(t), 1)$, and $e_1(t), e_2(t), e_3(t)$ are constant vectors, forming an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then clearly $\varphi(c'(t)) = \langle e_2'(t), e_3(t)\rangle = 0$. □

Proposition 6.2 *Let $p_i : \mathbb{S}^1 \to K_i$ be regular parametrizations, and let $\theta(s,t) = \theta(p_1(s), p_2(t)) \in [0, 2\pi)$ be the oriented angle between the circle positively tangent to K_1 at $p_1(s)$, and the circle positively tangent to K_2 at $p_2(t)$. Then*

$$E(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \frac{\pi^2}{2} - \frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{\partial\theta(s,t)}{\partial s}\frac{\partial\theta(s,t)}{\partial t} dtds.$$

Proof. Let us pick up a point $q_i \in \Omega_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ in the interior of each region. We denote $\Omega_i^* = \Omega_i \setminus \{q_i\}$. For each region, we take an orientation preserving diffeomorphism

$$F_i \colon \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,1) \longrightarrow \Omega_i^* \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

such that $F_i(t, 0) = p_i(t)$. The vector field $X_i = \partial F_i(x,t)/\partial x$ is defined on Ω_i^* and vanishes nowhere. Let us define a section $s_1 : \Omega_1^* \times \Omega_2^* \to \mathcal{F}$ such that $s_1(w, z) = (w, z; \xi)$ with $X_1(w) \in$

$T_w\xi$. Similarly, we define s_2 on $\Omega_1^* \times \Omega_2^*$ so that $X_2(z) \in T_z\xi$ if $s_2(w,z) = (w,z;\xi)$. Let $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon} = \Omega_i \setminus B_\varepsilon(q_i)$. Then

$$E(\Omega_1,\Omega_2) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{8}\int_{\Omega_{1,\varepsilon}\times\Omega_{2,\varepsilon}} d(s_1^*\varphi)\wedge d(s_2^*\varphi)$$

By Stokes,

$$\int_{\Omega_{1,\varepsilon}\times\Omega_{2,\varepsilon}} d(s_1^*\varphi)\wedge d(s_2^*\varphi) = \int_{(\partial\Omega_{1,\varepsilon}\times\Omega_{2,\varepsilon})\cup(\Omega_{1,\varepsilon}\times\partial\Omega_{2,\varepsilon})} s_1^*\varphi\wedge d(s_2^*\varphi).$$

Integration on $\partial\Omega_{1,\varepsilon}\times\Omega_{2,\varepsilon}$ vanishes by Lemma 6.1. Using $d(s_1^*\varphi\wedge s_2^*\varphi) = ds_1^*\varphi\wedge s_2^*\varphi - s_1^*\varphi\wedge ds_2^*\varphi$ we get

$$\int_{\Omega_{1,\varepsilon}\times\partial\Omega_{2,\varepsilon}} s_1^*\varphi\wedge d(s_2^*\varphi) = -\int_{\Omega_{1,\varepsilon}\times\partial\Omega_{2,\varepsilon}} d(s_1^*\varphi\wedge s_2^*\varphi) + \int_{\Omega_{1,\varepsilon}\times\partial\Omega_{2,\varepsilon}} ds_1^*\varphi\wedge s_2^*\varphi$$

and the latter integral vanishes again by Lemma 6.1. Taking care of orientations we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Omega_1,\Omega_2) = & \int_{K_1\times K_2} s_1^*\varphi\wedge s_2^*\varphi \\ & - \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\int_{(K_1\times\partial B_\varepsilon(q_2))\cup(\partial B_\varepsilon(q_1)\times K_2)} s_1^*\varphi\wedge s_2^*\varphi + \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(q_1)\times\partial B_\varepsilon(q_2)} s_1^*\varphi\wedge s_2^*\varphi\right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\int_{K_1\times K_2} s_1^*\varphi\wedge s_2^*\varphi = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{\partial\theta(s,t)}{\partial s}\frac{\partial\theta(s,t)}{\partial t}dtds.$$

Applying the latter to the pairs of curves $(K_1,\partial B_\varepsilon(q_2)), (\partial B_\varepsilon(q_1),K_2)), (\partial B_\varepsilon(q_1),\partial B_\varepsilon(q_2))$, and taking limits gives the result. □

Proposition 6.3 *Assume $K_i = \partial\Omega_i$ is connected for $i = 1,2$, and let $K_i^* = K_i\setminus\{p_i^0\}$ for some arbitrary point $p_i^0\in K_i$. Then*

$$E(\Omega_1,\Omega_2) = \frac{1}{4}\int_{K_1^*\times K_2^*} \theta\sin\theta\frac{dp_1dp_2}{|p_2-p_1|^2},$$

where $\theta(p_1,p_2)$ is any continuous determination on $K_1^*\times K_2^*$ of the oriented angle between the circle positively tangent to K_1 at p_1, and the circle positively tangent to K_2 at p_2.

Proof. Let us use the notations from the previous proof, with the convention $\mathbb{S}^1 = [0,1]/\sim$, where $0\sim 1$. We can assume $p_i^0 = F_i(0,0)$. Let $\Omega_i' = F_i\left((\mathbb{S}^1\setminus\{0\})\times[0,1)\right)$. To simplify the notation we will identify $\Omega_i' \equiv (0,1)\times[0,1)$. We will also write $(x,t)\equiv F_1(x,t) = w, (y,u)\equiv F_2(y,u) = z$. Since $\Omega_1'\times\Omega_2'$ is (homotopically) contractible, the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_1'\times\Omega_2'}$ is a trivial bundle (i.e. there exists a bundle isomorphism $\tau : \mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_1'\times\Omega_2'}\to\Omega_1'\times\Omega_2'\times\mathbb{S}^1$). Moreover the row in the diagram below lifts

$$\begin{tikzcd} & & \Omega_1'\times\Omega_2'\times\mathbb{R} \arrow[d] \\ \Omega_1'\times\Omega_2' \arrow[r, "s_j"] & \mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_1'\times\Omega_2'} \arrow[r, "\tau", "\cong"'] & \Omega_1'\times\Omega_2'\times\mathbb{S}^1. \end{tikzcd}$$

i.e., there exist $f_j\colon \Omega_1'\times\Omega_2'\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $(w,z;\exp(if_j(w,z))=\tau(s_j(w,z))$.

Let now $\rho\colon\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ be a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ monotone function such that $\rho(x)=0$ for $x\le 0$ and $\rho(x)=1$ for $x\ge 1$. Given $\varepsilon>0$ we define

$$h_\varepsilon(x,t,y,u)=\rho(u/\varepsilon)f_1(x,t,y,u)+\rho(t/\varepsilon)f_2(x,t,y,u).$$

Then $s_\varepsilon(x,t,y,u)=\tau^{-1}(w,z;\exp(ih_\varepsilon(x,t,y,u))$ defines a section s_ε of π over $\Omega_1'\times\Omega_2'$ which we identified to $(0,1)\times[0,1)\times(0,1)\times[0,1)$. Hence we have $s_\varepsilon^*\varphi$ defined on $(0,1)\times[0,1)\times(0,1)\times[0,1)$. In fact, it extends to $\mathbb{S}^1\times[0,1)\times\mathbb{S}^1\times[0,1)$. Next we take a small $\delta>0$ and we apply Stokes thorem to the manifold $U_\delta=\mathbb{S}^1\times[0,1-\delta]\times\mathbb{S}^1\times[0,1-\delta]$:

$$4\int_{U_\delta}\frac{dzdw}{|z-w|^4} \quad=\quad \int_{\partial U_\delta}s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\ \wedge\ \Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr} \quad=\quad \int_{\{t=1-\delta\}\cup\{u=1-\delta\}\cup\{t=0\}\cup\{u=0\}}s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\ \wedge\ \Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr}.$$

The norm $\|s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\|_\infty$ is bounded for a fixed $\varepsilon>0$. Besides, $\|i_{\partial/\partial x}(\Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr})\|=O(\delta)$ for $t=1-\delta$ or $u=1-\delta$. Hence,

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\int_{\{t=1-\delta\}}s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\wedge\Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr}=0$$
$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\int_{\{u=1-\delta\}}s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\wedge\Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr}=0$$

On the other hand

$$\int_{\{t=0,u>\varepsilon\}}s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\wedge\Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr}0,\qquad=\int_{\{u=0,t>\varepsilon\}}s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\wedge\Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr}=0 \tag{6.2}$$

Indeed, for $t=0,u>\varepsilon$, $s_\varepsilon=s_1$. In this case, by Lemma 6.1

$$s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\frac{\partial}{\partial y}=s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\frac{\partial}{\partial u}=0.$$

Hence,

$$(s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\wedge\Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr})\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)=0.$$

This shows the first equation in (6.2). The second one follows by symmetry. We have shown so far that

$$E(\Omega_1,\Omega_2)=\frac{1}{4}\int_{\{0<x,y<1,t=0,0<u<\varepsilon\}\cup\{0<x,y<1,u=0,0<t<\varepsilon\}}s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\wedge\Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr}. \tag{6.3}$$

To compute the latter integral we take the limit as ε goes down to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\{0<x,y<1,t=0,0<u<\varepsilon\}}s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\wedge\Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr}\\ &=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^\varepsilon(s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\wedge\Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr})_{(x,0,y,u)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)dudydx\\ &=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\varepsilon\,(s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\wedge\Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr})_{(x,0,y,\varepsilon v)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)dvdydx.\end{aligned}$$

Since the norm of $\varepsilon s_{\varepsilon *}$ is uniformly bounded, we may apply Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem to put the limit inside the integral. Since $s_\varepsilon^*\varphi(\partial/\partial x), s_\varepsilon^*\varphi(\partial/\partial y)$ are uniformly bounded,

$$\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\varepsilon\,(s_\varepsilon^*\varphi\wedge\Im\mathfrak{m}\,\omega_{cr})_{(x,0,y,\varepsilon v)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)dvdydx$$

$$= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon s_\varepsilon^* \varphi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) dv \right) \Im\mathfrak{m}\, \omega_{cr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) dydx$$

Finally, by continuity, and since $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon s_{\varepsilon *}(\frac{\partial}{\partial u})$ is tangent to the fibers of π_1,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \varphi \left(s_{\varepsilon *} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) \right) = \varphi \left(\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon s_{\varepsilon *} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) \right) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\partial h_\varepsilon}{\partial u}(x, 0, y, \varepsilon v) = \rho'(v) f_1(x, 0, y, 0).$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\{(x,y)\}} \int_{\{0<u<\varepsilon\}} (s_\varepsilon^* \varphi \wedge \Im\mathfrak{m}\, \omega_{cr})_{(x,0,y,u)} = \int_{\{(x,y)\}} f_1(x, 0, y, 0) \Im\mathfrak{m}\, \omega_{cr}.$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\{(x,y)\}} \int_{\{0<t<\varepsilon\}} (s_\varepsilon^* \varphi \wedge \Im\mathfrak{m}\, \omega_{cr})_{(x,t,y,0)} = -\int_{\{(x,y)\}} f_2(x, 0, y, 0) \Im\mathfrak{m}\, \omega_{cr}.$$

This, together with (6.3), completes the proof since $\theta = f_2 - f_1$, and

$$\Im\mathfrak{m}\, \omega_{cr} = \sin\theta \frac{dx_1 dx_2}{|x_2 - x_1|^2}.$$

□

7 Alternative proofs

7.1 Alternative proof of proposition 4.13

We give a direct proof of

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(-\int_{\Omega \times \Omega^c \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z - w|^4} + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} L(K) \right) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left(\int_{\Omega_\delta} V_\Omega(w)\, d^2w + \frac{\pi}{4\delta} L(K) \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \chi(\Omega)$$

when $\partial\Omega$ is connected, i.e. when $\chi(\Omega) = 1$.

Suppose $0 < \delta \leq \varepsilon$. Note that

$$\Omega \times \Omega^c \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon = ((\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta) \cup \Omega_\delta) \times \Omega^c \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon = (\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta) \times \Omega^c \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon \cup (\Omega_\delta \times \Omega^c \setminus D),$$

where

$$D = \{(w, z) \,|\, w \in \Omega_\delta \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon,\ z \in \Omega^c \cap B_\varepsilon(w)\}.$$

Since $(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta) \times \Omega^c \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon \subset (\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon$ we have

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta) \times \Omega^c \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z - w|^4} \leq \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\pi^2}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot A(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta) = 0,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{\Omega \times \Omega^c \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon} \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z - w|^4} &= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left(-\int_{\Omega_\delta \times \Omega^c} \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z - w|^4} + \int_D \frac{d^2w d^2z}{|z - w|^4} \right) \\ &= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left(\int_{\Omega_\delta} V_\Omega(w)\, d^2w + \int_{\Omega_\delta \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} V_{\Omega^c \cap B_\varepsilon(w)}(w) d^2w \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have only to prove

Lemma 7.1 *Suppose $\delta \ll \varepsilon^2$. Then*

$$\int_{\Omega_\delta \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} V_{\Omega^c \cap B_\varepsilon(w)}(w) d^2 w = \frac{\pi}{4\delta} L(K) - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} L(K) - \frac{\pi^2}{4} + O(\varepsilon).$$

Proof. Suppose $w \in \Omega_\delta \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon$. Put $t = \mathrm{dist}(w, K)$ and let $\kappa(s)$ be the curvature of K at the closest point to w. Then (4.11) and (4.12) in the proof of Proposition 4.4 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} V(w, \Omega^c \cap B_\varepsilon(w)) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega^c \cap B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{\det\left(p - w, \overrightarrow{dp}\right)}{|p-w|^4} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^c \cap \partial B_\varepsilon(w)} \frac{\det\left(p - w, \overrightarrow{dp}\right)}{|p-w|^4} \\ &= \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\kappa(s)t}{2}}{2t^2 \sqrt{1 - \kappa(s)t}} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \right) \arccos\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) + \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\kappa(s)t}{2}\right)\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - t^2}}{2t\varepsilon^2\sqrt{1 - \kappa(s)t}} - \frac{\kappa(s)\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - t^2}}{2\varepsilon^2} + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Let the right hand side above be denoted by $V_{loc}(s,t)$. Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega_\delta \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} V_{\Omega^c \cap B_\varepsilon(w)}(w) d^2 w = \int_0^{L(K)} \int_\delta^\varepsilon (1 - \kappa(s)t)\, V_{loc}(s,t)\, dt\, ds + O(\varepsilon).$$

If we fix s and put $\kappa = \kappa(s)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\delta^\varepsilon (1 - \kappa(s)t)\, V_{loc}(s,t)\, dt = \int_{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}^1 \Bigg[& \left(\frac{(1 + \frac{\kappa\varepsilon}{2}t)\sqrt{1 - \kappa\varepsilon t}}{2\varepsilon t^2} - \frac{1 - \kappa\varepsilon t}{\varepsilon} \right) \arccos t \\ & + \frac{(1 - \frac{\kappa\varepsilon}{2}t)\sqrt{1 - \kappa\varepsilon t}\,\sqrt{1 - t^2}}{2\varepsilon t} - \frac{\kappa}{2}(1 - \kappa\varepsilon t)\sqrt{1 - t^2} \Bigg] dt. \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

First assume $\kappa = 0$. Then the above is given by

$$\int_{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}^1 \left[\left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon t^2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \arccos t + \frac{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}{2\varepsilon t} \right] dt = \frac{\pi}{4\delta} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} \arccos\left(\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

The contribution of κ being non-zero can be estimated by expansion to a series in κ. Let $\varphi(\kappa, t)$ denote the integrand of the right hand side of (7.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial\kappa} \varphi(\kappa, t) \bigg|_{\kappa = 0} dt &= \int_0^1 \left(t \arccos t - \sqrt{1 - t^2} \right) dt = -\frac{\pi}{8}, \\ \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}^1 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\kappa^2} \varphi(\kappa, t) \bigg|_{\kappa = 0} dt &= \varepsilon \int_0^1 \left(-\frac{3}{8} \arccos t + \frac{9}{8} t\sqrt{1 - t^2} \right) dt = O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\int_\delta^\varepsilon (1 - \kappa(s)t)\, V_{loc}(s,t)\, dt = \frac{\pi}{4\delta} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} - \frac{\pi}{8}\kappa(s) + O\left(\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2}\right) + O(\varepsilon),$$

which completes the proof. □

7.2 Direct proof of Proposition 5.12

We show directly that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{S_\varepsilon(1,3)}\lambda^2(\gamma,K)d\gamma-\frac{2\pi^2}{\varepsilon}L(K)=\frac{8\pi}{3}\left(\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_\varepsilon}\cos\theta_p\cos\theta_q\frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2}-\frac{2L(K)}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

where $\theta_p,\theta_q\in[0,\pi]$ are the angles at p,q between the tangent vector of K and the vector $q-p$.

Proof. The invariant measure of circles is given by

$$d\gamma=\frac{1}{r^4}drdcdn$$

where r denotes the radius, dc is the volume element relative to the center, and dn is the area element in $\mathbb{S}^2$ relative to the unit vector n normal to the plane containing γ, and determined by the orientation. Hence we must study

$$I_\varepsilon(K)=\int_{S_\varepsilon(1,3)}\lambda^2(\gamma,K)d\gamma=\int_\varepsilon^\infty\frac{1}{r^4}f(r,K)dr$$

where

$$f(r,K)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\lambda^2(\gamma,K)dndc.$$

The latter is the (isometry invariant) measure (with multiplicity) of radius r circles linked with K. By the results of des Cloizeaux-Ball (cf. [dCB])

$$f(r,K)=\pi\int_0^{2r}\mathcal{A}_K(s)\sqrt{4r^2-s^2}ds$$

with

$$\mathcal{A}_K(s)=\frac{1}{s}\int_{K\times K\cap\Delta_s}\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}=\int_K\sum\cos\theta_p\cdot\operatorname{sign}(\cos\theta_q)dp.$$

where $\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}=\sum_{i=1}^3 dp^i\wedge dq^i$, and the sum runs over the points $q\in K$ at distance s from p. Therefore,

$$I_\varepsilon(K)=\pi\int_\varepsilon^\infty\frac{1}{r^4}\int_0^{2r}\mathcal{A}_K(s)\sqrt{4r^2-s^2}dsdr$$

Using $\mathcal{A}_K(s)=2L(K)+O(s^2)$, and Fubini's theorem we get

$$I_\varepsilon(K)=\pi\int_\varepsilon^\infty\frac{1}{r^4}\int_0^{2\varepsilon}(2L(K)+O(s^2))\sqrt{4r^2-s^2}dsdr+\pi\int_{2\varepsilon}^\infty\mathcal{A}_K(s)\int_{s/2}^\infty\frac{\sqrt{4r^2-s^2}}{r^4}drds$$

Computing the integrals, and using $\sqrt{4r^2-s^2}\leq 2r$ gives

$$I_\varepsilon(K)=\pi\int_\varepsilon^\infty\frac{4}{r^4}L(K)(\varepsilon\sqrt{r^2-e^2}+r^2\arctan(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{r^2-\varepsilon^2}})dr+O(\varepsilon^3)\int_\varepsilon^\infty\frac{1}{r^3}dr+\pi\int_{2\varepsilon}^\infty\frac{8}{3s^2}\mathcal{A}_K(s)ds$$

$$=\pi(-\frac{8}{3\varepsilon}+\frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon})L(K)+O(\varepsilon)+\frac{8\pi}{3}\int_{2\varepsilon}^\infty\frac{A_K(s)}{s^2}ds$$

To finish the proof we just need to remark that

$$\int_{2\varepsilon}^\infty\frac{\mathcal{A}_K(s)}{s^2}ds=\int_{K\times K\setminus\Delta_{2\varepsilon}}\cos\theta_p\cos\theta_q\frac{dpdq}{|q-p|^2}.$$

$\square$

7.3 Alternative proof of the Möbius invariance of E for single space curves

We give a sketch of an alternative proof of the Möbius invariance of E for space curves using the Möbius invariance of E for pairs of curves $E(K_1, K_2) = -\frac{1}{4}\int_{K_1\times K_2} \frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}$ and the renormalization formula

$$E(K) = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(K) - \frac{1}{4}\int_{K\times K_\delta}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}\right) - \frac{\pi}{8}\int_K \kappa(p)dp.$$

It is enough to show that E is invariant under an inversion I in a sphere with center C and radius 1. Let us express the image of I by putting tilde above, namely, we put $\widetilde{K} = I(K), \widetilde{K_\delta} = I(K_\delta), \widetilde{n} = \frac{I_*(n)}{|I_*(n)|}$, and $\widetilde{p} = I(p)$ for $p \in K$. Let $\widetilde{\kappa}$ be the curvature of $\widetilde{K}$, $n_{\widetilde{K}}$ the unit principal normal vector of $\widetilde{K}$, and β the angle between $\widetilde{n}$ and $n_{\widetilde{K}}$. Assume that the curvatures of K and $I(K)$ do not vanish anywhere.

Lemma 7.2 *Suppose* $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon$. *Let* $\widetilde{p}$ *be a point on* $\widetilde{K}$. *Then*

$$\int_{(\widetilde{K}+\delta\widetilde{n})\cap B_\varepsilon(\widetilde{p})} \frac{v_{\widetilde{p}}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|\widetilde{p}-q|^2} = \frac{\pi}{\delta} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} - \frac{\pi}{2}\widetilde{\kappa}(\widetilde{p})\cos\beta(\widetilde{p}) + O(\varepsilon). \tag{7.2}$$

Proof. In order to estimate the integral up to $O(\varepsilon)$, we only need up to quadratic terms of the curve, as in the proof of lemma 5.18. Therefore, we may assume that $\widetilde{K}$ is a subarc of a circle near the point $\widetilde{p}$. We may further assume that the angle β is constant near $\widetilde{p}$ since the contribution of the variation of β tends to 0 as δ goes down to 0.

Put

$$R = \frac{1}{\widetilde{\kappa}}, \widetilde{p} = (R, 0, 0), \quad \text{and} \quad q = ((R-\delta\cos\beta)\cos\theta, (R-\delta\cos\beta)\sin\theta, \delta\sin\beta)$$

for $-\theta_0 \le \theta \le \theta_0$, where θ_0 is given by $\sin\frac{\theta_0}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon^2-\delta^2}{R(R-\delta\cos\beta)}}$. Then the left hand side of (7.2) can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\int_0^{\theta_0} \frac{\cos\theta}{4R(R-\delta\cos\beta)(1-\cos\theta)+\delta^2}\cdot(R-\delta\cos\beta)\,d\theta \\
&\sim \frac{2}{\delta}\sqrt{1-\frac{\delta\cos\beta}{R}}\int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2-\delta^2}}{\delta}} \frac{1+\sum_{k=1}^\infty O(1)\delta^{2k}t^{2k}}{1+t^2}\,dt \\
&= \frac{2}{\delta}\left(1-\frac{\cos\beta}{2}\widetilde{\kappa}\delta\right)\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\right) + O(\varepsilon) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{\delta} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} - \frac{\pi}{2}\widetilde{\kappa}\cos\beta + O(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

□

We remark that (7.2) coincides with (5.14) when $\beta = 0$.

Corollary 7.3

$$E(\widetilde{K}) = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(\widetilde{K}) - \frac{1}{4}\int_{\widetilde{K}\times(\widetilde{K}+\delta\widetilde{n})}\frac{\overrightarrow{d\widetilde{p}}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|\widetilde{p}-q|^2}\right) - \frac{\pi}{8}\int_{\widetilde{K}}\cos\beta(\widetilde{p})\widetilde{\kappa}(\widetilde{p})\,d\widetilde{p}.$$

Lemma 7.4 *As before,*

$$\int_{\widetilde{K}} \cos\beta(\widetilde{p})\widetilde{\kappa}(\widetilde{p})d\widetilde{p} = \int_K \kappa(p)dp + 2\int_K \frac{(p-C)\cdot n}{|C-p|^2}\,dp, \tag{7.3}$$

where C is the center of the sphere of the inversion I.

Proof. Assume that $K \cap B_\varepsilon(p)$ coincides with a subarc of a circle Γ in a plane Π_1. As $\widetilde{K_\delta}$ belongs to the sphere $I(\Pi_1)$, the angle β between $\widetilde{n}$ and $n_{\widetilde{K}}$ is equal to the angle between $I(\Pi_1)$ and the 2-plane through $I(\Gamma)$, which we denote by Π_2. As the inversion is conformal, the angle β is equal to the angle between Π and $I(\Pi_2)$. Note that $I(\Pi_2)$ is the sphere that passes through both the circle Γ and the point C.

Let O be the center of Γ, h the distance between C and Π_1, ρ the distance between the center of the sphere $I(\Pi_2)$ and Π_1, and r the radius of $I(\Pi_2)$. Then $2h\rho = |C-O|^2 - R^2$.

Let p_1, p_2 be a pair of antipodal points on Γ so that p_1, p_2, and the foot of a perpendicular to Π_1 through C are collinear. Then the curvature $\widetilde{\kappa}(\tilde{p})$ of $\widetilde{K}$ at $\tilde{p}$ is given by (cf. [O2] page 37)

$$\widetilde{\kappa}(\tilde{p}) = \frac{2}{|I(p_1)-I(p_2)|} = \frac{2|C-p_1||C-p_2|}{|p_1-p_2|} = |C-p_1||C-p_2|\,\kappa(p) = 2hr\,\kappa(p).$$

Since $\cos\beta(p) = \rho/r$

$$\cos\beta(\widetilde{p})\widetilde{\kappa}(\widetilde{p}) = 2h\rho\kappa(p) = \left(|C-O|^2 - R^2\right)\kappa(p).$$

Since

$$|C-O|^2 - R^2 = |C-p-Rn|^2 - R^2 = |C-p|^2 - 2R(C-p)\cdot n$$

and $d\widetilde{p} = \dfrac{dp}{|C-p|^2}$ (cf. [O2] page 37), we have

$$\cos\beta(\widetilde{p})\widetilde{\kappa}(\widetilde{p})\,d\widetilde{p} = \frac{|C-O|^2-R^2}{|C-p|^2}\kappa(p)\,dp = \kappa(p)\,dp + 2\frac{(p-C)\cdot n}{|C-p|^2}\,dp,$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 7.5 *Suppose $\delta_0 > 0$ is smaller than the distance between $\widetilde{K}$ and $\widetilde{K_\delta}$. Then*

$$\int_{\widetilde{K}\times\widetilde{K_\delta}} \frac{\overrightarrow{d\widetilde{p}}\cdot\overrightarrow{d\widetilde{q}}}{|\widetilde{p}-\widetilde{q}|^2} - \int_{\widetilde{K}\times\left(\widetilde{K}+\delta_0\widetilde{n}\right)} \frac{\overrightarrow{d\widetilde{p}}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|\widetilde{p}-q|^2} \sim -\frac{\pi}{\delta_0}L\big(\widetilde{K}\big) + \frac{\pi}{\delta}L(K) + \pi\int_K \frac{(p-C)\cdot n}{|C-p|^2}\,dp. \tag{7.4}$$

Proof. Suppose $\widetilde{K_\delta}$ is approximated by $\widetilde{K_\delta} \approx \widetilde{K} + \widetilde{\delta}\,\widetilde{n}$ for sume function $\widetilde{\delta} : \widetilde{K} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{\delta}(\widetilde{p})} &= \frac{|C-p|\,|C-(p+\delta n)|}{\delta}\cdot\frac{dp}{|C-p|^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{\delta}\cdot\frac{|C-(p+\delta n)|}{|C-p|}\,dp \\
&= \frac{1}{\delta}\left(1 + \frac{(p-C)\cdot n}{|C-p|^2}\,\delta + O(\delta^2)\right)dp.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfy $0 < \delta_0 < \widetilde{\delta}(\widetilde{p}) \ll \varepsilon$ $(\forall\widetilde{p})$. We may assume that $\widetilde{\delta}$ is constant in $B_\varepsilon(\widetilde{p}) \cap \widetilde{K}$ for any $\widetilde{p}$, i.e. the contribution of the variation of $\widetilde{\delta}$ can be neglected.

By a similar argument as in the proof of proposition 5.19, lemma 7.2, and (7.5), the left hand side of (7.4) can be estimated as

$$\int_{\widetilde{p}\in\widetilde{K}}\left[\left(\int_{\widetilde{K_\delta}\cap B_\varepsilon(\widetilde{p})}-\int_{\left(\widetilde{K}+\delta_0\widetilde{n}\right)\cap B_\varepsilon(\widetilde{p})}\right)\frac{v_p\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}+\left(\int_{\widetilde{K_\delta}\cap(B_\varepsilon(\widetilde{p}))^c}-\int_{\left(\widetilde{K}+\delta_0\widetilde{n}\right)\cap(B_\varepsilon(\widetilde{p}))^c}\right)\frac{v_p\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}\right]d\widetilde{p}$$

$$=\pi\int_{\widetilde{K}}\frac{d\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{\delta}(\widetilde{p})}-\pi\int_{\widetilde{K}}\frac{d\widetilde{p}}{\delta_0}+O(\varepsilon),$$

which tends to the right hand side of (7.4) by (7.5). □

Let us now proceed to an alternative proof of the Möbius invariance of $E(K)$. By lemmas 7.5 and 7.4, and the Möbius invariance $E(K,K_\delta)=E\big(\widetilde{K},\widetilde{K_\delta}\big)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E\big(\widetilde{K}\big)&=\lim_{\delta_0\to0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta_0}L\big(\widetilde{K}\big)-\frac{1}{4}\int_{\widetilde{K}\times\left(\widetilde{K}+\delta_0\widetilde{n}\right)}\frac{\overrightarrow{d\widetilde{p}}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|\widetilde{p}-q|^2}\right)-\frac{\pi}{8}\int_{\widetilde{K}}\cos\beta(\widetilde{p})\widetilde{\kappa}(\widetilde{p})\,d\widetilde{p}\\
&=\lim_{\delta\to0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(K)-\frac{1}{4}\int_{\widetilde{K}\times\widetilde{K_\delta}}\frac{\overrightarrow{d\widetilde{p}}\cdot\overrightarrow{d\widetilde{q}}}{|\widetilde{p}-\widetilde{q}|^2}+\frac{\pi}{4}\int_K\frac{(p-C)\cdot n}{|C-p|^2}\,dp\right)-\frac{\pi}{8}\left(\int_K\kappa(p)\,dp+2\int_K\frac{(p-C)\cdot n}{|C-p|^2}\,dp\right)\\
&=\lim_{\delta\to0}\left(\frac{\pi}{4\delta}L(K)-\frac{1}{4}\int_{K\times K_\delta}\frac{\overrightarrow{dp}\cdot\overrightarrow{dq}}{|q-p|^2}\right)-\frac{\pi}{8}\int_K\kappa(p)dp\\
&=E(K),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

References

[AS] D. Auckly, L. Sadun, *A family of Möbius invariant 2-knot energies*, Geometric Topology (Athens, GA, 1993), Studies in Advanced Math, AMS, 1997.

[AM] S. Alexakis, R. Mazzeo, *Renormalized area and properly embedded minimal surfaces in hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, arXiv:0802.2250v2.

[BFHW] S. Bryson, M. Freedman, Z.-X. He, Z. Wang, *Möbius invariance of knot energy*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 28 (1993), no. 1, 99–103.

[BP] T.F.Banchoff, W.Pohl *A generalization of the isoperimetric inequality.* J. Diff. Geom., **6** (1971), 175–192.

[BW] T. Banchoff and J. H. White, *The behavior of the total twist and self-linking number of a closed space curve under inversions,* Math. Scand. **36** (1975), 254 – 262.

[BS] G. Buck and J. Simon, *Thickness and crossing number of knots,* Topology Appl. **91** (1999), 245 – 257.

[dCB] J. des Cloizeaux, R. Ball, *Rigid curves at random position and linking number*, Commun. Math. Phys. **80** (1981), 543–553.

[LO] R. Langevin and J. O'Hara, *Conformally invariant energies of knots,* J. Institut Math. Jussieu 4 (2005), 219-280.

[O1] J. O'Hara, *Energy of a knot*, Topology 30 (1991), no. 2, 241–247.

[O2] J. O'Hara, *Energy of knots and conformal geometry*. Series on Knots and Everything Vol. 33, World Scientific, Singapore, xiv + 288 pages.

[O3] J. O'Hara, *Renormalization of $r^\bullet$-potentials and generalization of dual volumes and centers*, arXiv:1008.2731.

[Poh] W.Pohl, *Some integral formulas for space curves and their generalization.* Amer. J. Math., **90**, n.4 (1968), 1321–1345.

[San] L.A. Santaló, *Integral geometry and geometric probability*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[So] G. Solanes, *Total curvature of complete surfaces in hyperbolic space*, Advances in Mathematics 225 (2010), 805–825.